## **Evaluation Form for the Nov. 28 - Dec. 1, 2011 TCBG Online Workshop**

This Form is NOT LIVE. Submitting this Form will NOT store any data. Test validation rules: on of

Also, always remember to test this Form in IE, Firefox, and Safari before making it live.

## **Evaluation - TCBG Online Workshop on Simulating Membrane Channels**

Dear Online Workshop Participant,

We hope you found the TCBG Online Workshop on Simulating Membrane Channels, held November 28 - December 1, 2011, to be beneficial to your training goals and research program! Please complete the form below so that TCBG can use your input to further improve its online training efforts.

- \*1. Which parts of the workshop did you utilize? Check all that apply.
  - I viewed the streaming lecture
  - I participated in the conference call
  - I worked through (even partially) the Membrane Proteins tutorial
  - I worked through (even partially) the Simulation of Water Permeation through Nanotubes tutorial
  - I interacted with a teaching assistant(s)

The questions below ask about outcomes related to the workshop.

| Strongly |       |           |          | Strongly |
|----------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|
| agree    | Aaree | Undecided | Disagree | disagree |

- \*2. The workshop broadened my understanding of concepts and principles in the field of Membrane Protein Simulations.
- \*3. The workshop improved my ability to carry out original research in the field of Membrane Protein Simulations.
- \*4. The workshop taught me techniques directly applicable to my career.
- **★**5. The material presented in the workshop was relevant to my research.

The questions below ask about the workshop lecture. Skip to the next question set if you did not view the lecture.

Strongly Strongly

|   | agree             | Agree       | Undecided        | Disagree      | disagree             |
|---|-------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 6. The lecture captured the overall essentials of the topic.                |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 7. The level of the lecture was appropriate.                                |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 8. The lecture provided real-world examples.                                |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 9. The lecture incorporated recent development in the field.                |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 10. The underlying rationale of the techniques presented was clear.         |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 11. The lecture stimulated my intellectual curiosity.                       |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 12. The lecturer's knowledge of the subject was good.                       |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 13. The lecturer explained the material well.                               |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 14. The video quality of the lecture was good.                              |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 15. The audio quality of the lecture was good.                              |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 16. The PowerPoint slides were a useful accompaniment to the lecture.       |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| The questions below concern the w participate in the call.                  | orkshop conf      | erence call | l. Skip to the I | next question | set if you did not   |
|   | Strongly<br>agree | Agree       | Undecided        | Disagree      | Strongly<br>disagree |
| 17. The conference call was a valuable part of the workshop.                |                   |             |                  |               |                      |
| 18. The conference call enhanced my understanding of other course material. |                   |             |                  |               |                      |

19. The number of participants

involved in the conference call was practical.

The questions below concern the workshop tutorials. Skip to the next question set if you did not work on the tutorials.

| Strongly |       |           |          | Strongly |
|----------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|
| agree    | Agree | Undecided | Disagree | disagree |

- 20. The tutorials are important for the learning process in the workshop.
- 21. The concrete examples in the tutorial(s) increased my understanding of other workshop content.
- 22. There were sufficient instructions to proceed with the tutorial(s).
- 23. The teaching sssistants were well-prepared to answer questions (skip if you had no contact with the TAs).

The questions below concern the organization of the workshop.


| Strongly |       |           |          | Strongly |
|----------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|
| agree    | Agree | Undecided | Disagree | disagree |

- 24. The workshop web site was informative.
- 25. Emails about the workshop were informative.
- 26. The workshop application and registration process was reasonable.

The questions below concern your overall satisfaction with the workshop.

| Strongly |       |           |          | Strongly |
|----------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|
| agree    | Aaree | Undecided | Disagree | disagree |

- **★**27. The workshop addressed my research needs.
- **★**28. More online workshops like this one should be developed.
- **★**29. I would participate in other online workshops like this one.



Form provided by Web Services in Public Affairs

