

$$1. J^\mu{}_\rho = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \phi_\rho - \Theta^\mu{}_\nu \bar{X}^\nu{}_\rho$$

Special transformation: $\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x} + \vec{a}$,

$$\phi_\rho = 0 \text{ and } \bar{X}^\nu{}_\rho = \delta^\nu{}_\rho, \quad \rho = 1, 2, 3.$$

The time independent conservative:

$$J^0{}_i = -\Theta^0{}_\mu \delta^\mu{}_i = -\Theta^0{}_i$$

$$\text{By definition: } \Theta^0{}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \phi)} \partial_i \phi - \delta^0{}_i \mathcal{L},$$

$$\text{with } \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2.$$

$$J^0{}_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\dot{\phi})} \partial_i \phi = -\dot{\phi} \partial_i \phi$$

$$\vec{p} = -\int_{V_\infty} d^3x \dot{\phi} \nabla \phi.$$

$$2. \int_{\Omega} d^4x \partial_{\mu} [\phi_n^* \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\mu} \phi_m]$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} d^4x \partial_{\mu} [\phi_n^* \partial^{\mu} \phi_m - (\partial^{\mu} \phi_n^*) \phi_m]$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} d^4x (\partial_{\mu} \phi_n^*) (\partial^{\mu} \phi_m) + \phi_n^* \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi_m \\ - (\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi_n^*) \phi_m - (\partial^{\mu} \phi_n^*) (\partial_{\mu} \phi_m)$$

We then show $(\partial_{\mu} \phi_n^*) (\partial^{\mu} \phi_m) = (\partial^{\mu} \phi_n^*) (\partial_{\mu} \phi_m)$:

$$(\partial_{\mu} \phi_n^*) (\partial^{\mu} \phi_m) = (\partial_{\mu\nu} \phi_n^*) (\eta^{\mu\rho} \partial_{\rho} \phi_m) \\ = \delta^{\rho}_{\nu} (\partial^{\nu} \phi_n^*) (\partial_{\rho} \phi_m) \\ = (\partial^{\mu} \phi_n^*) (\partial_{\mu} \phi_m)$$

$$\text{Then: } \int_{\Omega} d^4x \partial_{\mu} [\phi_n^* \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\mu} \phi_m]$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} d^4x \phi_n^* \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi_m - (\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi_n^*) \phi_m \\ + m^2 \phi_n^* \phi_m - m^2 \phi_n^* \phi_m$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} d^4x \left\{ \phi_n^* (\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} + m^2) \phi_m - [(\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} + m^2) \phi_n^*] \phi_m \right\}$$

$$3. \quad f_{\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E(k)}} e^{-ik_{\mu} x^{\mu}}, \quad E(k) = k_0 = \sqrt{|\vec{k}|^2 + m^2}, \\ E(\vec{k}) = E(-\vec{k})$$

$$(1) \quad \langle f_{\vec{k}} | f_{\vec{k}'} \rangle$$

$$= \int d^3x \quad f_{\vec{k}}^* (i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0) f_{\vec{k}'}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E(k) \cdot 2E(k')}} \int d^3x \quad (k_0 + k'_0) e^{-i(k'_{\mu} - k_{\mu}) x^{\mu}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E(k) \cdot 2E(k')}} (k_0 + k'_0) e^{-i(k'_0 - k_0) x^0} \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

$$= \frac{1}{2E(k)} \cdot 2k_0 \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

$$= \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

$$(2) \quad \langle f_{\vec{k}} | f_{\vec{k}'}^* \rangle$$

$$= \int d^3x \quad f_{\vec{k}}^* (i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0) f_{\vec{k}'}^*$$

$$= \text{Const.} \int d^3x \quad (-k'_0 + k_0) e^{i(k'_{\mu} + k_{\mu}) x^{\mu}}$$

$$= 0$$

$$(3) \quad \langle f_{\vec{k}}^* | f_{\vec{k}'} \rangle$$

$$= \int d^3x \quad f_{\vec{k}}^* (i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0) f_{\vec{k}'}$$

$$= \text{Const.} \int d^3x \quad (k'_0 - k_0) e^{-i(k'_{\mu} + k_{\mu}) x^{\mu}}$$

$$= 0$$

$$4. \quad g(x) = \int d^3 \vec{k} f_{\vec{k}}(x) \alpha(\vec{k}) \quad \text{with}$$

$$\alpha(\vec{k}) = \langle f_{\vec{k}}(x) | g(x) \rangle_{x^0}$$

① To prove the completeness of the basis function, we need to confine $g(x)$ as physical observables, which means:

$$g(x) = \int d^4 k f_{\vec{k}}(x) \alpha(\vec{k}) \delta(k^0 - \sqrt{|\vec{k}|^2 + m^2}), \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\omega \equiv \omega(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{|\vec{k}|^2 + m^2}$$

One can postulate it by the following. Any measurement is based on plane wave and the same dispersion relation should always apply.

② If we admit $f_{\vec{k}}(x)$ is complete for certain $g(x)$, then it is straight forward to find the coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\vec{k}}(x) | g(x) \rangle &= \int d^3 \vec{k}' \alpha(\vec{k}') \langle f_{\vec{k}} | f_{\vec{k}'} \rangle \\ &= \int d^3 \vec{k}' \alpha(\vec{k}') \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \\ &= \alpha(\vec{k}) \end{aligned}$$

5. Show the commutation rule $i[\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_j] = \delta_{ij}$ is consistent with \hat{q}_j multiplicative and $\hat{p}_j = -i\partial_j$.
 First we want to find a proper definition for \hat{p}_j .

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \hat{q}_j |\psi(\vec{q})\rangle &= q_j |\psi(\vec{q})\rangle \\ \textcircled{2} |\psi(\vec{q})\rangle &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{q}} |\psi(\vec{p})\rangle \\ \textcircled{3} \hat{p}_j |\psi(\vec{q})\rangle &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{q}} \hat{p}_j |\psi(\vec{p})\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{q}} p_j |\psi(\vec{p})\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} p_j e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{q}} |\psi(\vec{p})\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} (-i\partial_{q_j}) e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{q}} |\psi(\vec{p})\rangle \end{aligned}$$

This means in \vec{q} space, \hat{p}_j is defined as $-i\partial_{q_j}$.
 Next we show it consistent with the commutation rule.

$$i[\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_j] = i[-i\partial_i, \hat{q}_j] = \delta_{ij}.$$

6. (1) $\partial_\mu \hat{F} = i [P_\mu, F]$, where $p = [H, \vec{p}]$.

We can postulate it by making analogy to classical mechanics.

$$\frac{dF(t, \mathcal{Q}, P)}{dt} = \frac{\partial F(t, \mathcal{Q}, P)}{\partial t} + \{H, F\}$$

If F doesn't depend on t explicitly, we have

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \{H, F\}.$$

The correspondence principle applies:

$$\{ \} \Rightarrow i [\] .$$

By changing variables into operators:

$$\partial_\mu \hat{F} = i [\hat{P}_\mu, \hat{F}] .$$

Alternatively, we can use the result of Prob. 8, namely,

$$\begin{cases} \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{k} \, E(\vec{k}) [\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})] \\ \hat{P} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{k} \, \vec{k} [\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})] . \end{cases}$$

We can then prove (2) first.

$$|\varphi(x)\rangle = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i k_\mu x^\mu} |\varphi(k)\rangle$$

$$e^{-i \hat{P}_\mu (x'^\mu - x^\mu)} |\varphi(x)\rangle$$

$$= e^{-i \hat{P}_\mu (x'^\mu - x^\mu)} \int \frac{d^4 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i k_\mu x^\mu} |\varphi(k)\rangle$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i k_\mu x'^\mu} |\varphi(k)\rangle$$

$$= |\varphi(x')\rangle$$

$$\langle \varphi(x') | F(x^\mu) | \varphi(x') \rangle$$

$$= \langle \varphi(x) | e^{i \hat{P}_\mu (x'^\mu - x^\mu)} F(x^\mu) e^{-i \hat{P}_\mu (x'^\mu - x^\mu)} | \varphi(x) \rangle$$

As the physics in Schrödinger picture and

Heisenberg picture is equivalent, we conclude

$$F(x'^\mu) = e^{i \hat{P}_\mu (x'^\mu - x^\mu)} F(x^\mu) e^{-i \hat{P}_\mu (x'^\mu - x^\mu)}$$

Once (2) is proved, it is easy to show (1).

$$F(x^\mu) = e^{i\hat{P}_\mu(x^\mu - x_0^\mu)} F(x_0^\mu) e^{-i\hat{P}_\mu(x^\mu - x_0^\mu)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu F(x^\mu) &= e^{i\hat{P}_\mu(x^\mu - x_0^\mu)} (i\hat{P}_\mu) F(x_0^\mu) e^{-i\hat{P}_\mu(x^\mu - x_0^\mu)} \\ &\quad - e^{i\hat{P}_\mu(x^\mu - x_0^\mu)} F(x_0^\mu) (i\hat{P}_\mu) e^{-i\hat{P}_\mu(x^\mu - x_0^\mu)} \\ &= i\hat{P}_\mu F(x^\mu) - F(x^\mu) i\hat{P}_\mu \\ &= i[\hat{P}_\mu, F(x^\mu)] \end{aligned}$$

2) Given $\partial_\mu \hat{F} = i[\hat{P}_\mu, \hat{F}]$ satisfied, we can prove (2) by exploiting the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\hat{A}} \hat{B} e^{-\hat{A}} &= \hat{B} + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \frac{1}{2!} [\hat{A}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] + \dots \\ e^{i\hat{P}_\mu(x'^\mu - x^\mu)} F(x^\mu) e^{-i\hat{P}_\mu(x'^\mu - x^\mu)} \\ &= F(x^\mu) + i(x'^\mu - x^\mu) [\hat{P}_\mu, F] + \frac{1}{2!} i^2 (x'^\mu - x^\mu)^2 [\hat{P}_\mu, [\hat{P}_\mu, F]] \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &= F(x^\mu) + (x'^\mu - x^\mu) \partial_\mu F + \frac{1}{2!} (x'^\mu - x^\mu)^2 \partial_\mu^2 F + \dots \\ &= F(x'^\mu) \end{aligned}$$

$$7. \hat{a}(\vec{k}) = i \int d^3x f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \hat{\phi}(x) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) = -i \int d^3x f_{\vec{k}}(x) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \hat{\phi}(x).$$

$$[\hat{a}(\vec{k}), \hat{a}(\vec{k}')]_{\vec{k}=\vec{k}'}$$

$$= i^2 \int d^3x \int d^3x' [f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \hat{\phi}(x), f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \hat{\phi}(x')]$$

$$= i^2 \int d^3x \int d^3x' f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0' [\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}(x')]$$

$$f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0' [\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}(x')]$$

$$= f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') [\cancel{\pi(x), \pi(x')} + f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) (-\partial_0 f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x')) [\pi(x), \phi(x')]$$

$$- (\partial_0 f_{\vec{k}}^*(x)) f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') [\phi(x), \pi(x')] + (\cancel{\partial_0 f_{\vec{k}}^*(x)}) (\cancel{\partial_0' f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x')}) [\phi(x), \phi(x')]$$

$$= f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) (-\partial_0' f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x')) \frac{1}{i} \delta(x-x') + (\partial_0 f_{\vec{k}}^*(x)) f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') \frac{1}{i} \delta(x-x')$$

$$[\hat{a}(\vec{k}), \hat{a}(\vec{k}')]]$$

$$= \int d^3x d^3x' \delta(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') [-f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) (i \partial_0') f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') + f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x') (i \partial_0 f_{\vec{k}}^*(x))]$$

$$= \int d^3x [f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 f_{\vec{k}}^*(x)]$$

$$= \langle f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x) | f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) \rangle$$

$$= 0$$

• Similarly,

$$[\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}')] = -\langle f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x) | f_{\vec{k}}(x) \rangle = 0$$

8. (a) $\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \vec{E}(x) \cdot [\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})]$
 Start from $\hat{H} = \int d^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\pi^2(x) + (\nabla \hat{\phi}(x))^2 + m^2 \hat{\phi}^2(x)] \right\}$
 $\hat{\phi}(x) = \int d^3\vec{k} [f_{\vec{k}}(x) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})]$
 $\dot{\hat{\phi}}(x) = \dot{\hat{\phi}}(x) = \int d^3\vec{k} [(\partial_t f_{\vec{k}}(x)) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + (\partial_t f_{\vec{k}}^*(x)) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})]$
 $\nabla \hat{\phi}(x) = \int d^3\vec{k} [(\nabla f_{\vec{k}}(x)) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + (\nabla f_{\vec{k}}^*(x)) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})]$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_{\vec{k}}(x) = -ik_0 f_{\vec{k}}(x) \\ \partial_t f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) = ik_0 f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \nabla f_{\vec{k}}(x) = i\vec{k} f_{\vec{k}}(x) \\ \nabla f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) = -i\vec{k} f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) \end{cases}$$

and $k_0^2 = |\vec{k}|^2 + m^2$.

① $\int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \pi^2(x)$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \int d^3\vec{k} \int d^3\vec{k}' [-ik_0 f_{\vec{k}} \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + ik_0 f_{\vec{k}}^* \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})] \cdot [-ik_0' f_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}(\vec{k}') + ik_0' f_{\vec{k}'}^* \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}')]$$

$$\int d^3x f_{\vec{k}}(x) f_{\vec{k}'}(x) = \int d^3x \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E(k)2E(k')}} e^{-i(k_0+k_0')t} e^{i(\vec{k}+\vec{k}')\cdot\vec{x}}$$

$$= \delta(\vec{k}+\vec{k}') \frac{1}{2E(k)} e^{-2ik_0 t}$$

One can also prove:

$$\int d^3x f_{\vec{k}}(x) f_{\vec{k}'}^*(x) = \delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \frac{1}{2E(\vec{k})}$$

$$\int d^3x f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) f_{\vec{k}'}(x) = \delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \frac{1}{2E(\vec{k})}$$

$$\int d^3x f_{\vec{k}}^*(x) f_{\vec{k}'}(x) = \delta(\vec{k}+\vec{k}') e^{2i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{2E(\vec{k})} \left[-k_0^2 e^{-2i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) + k_0^2 \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k_0^2 \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) - k_0^2 e^{2i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} & \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} [\nabla\phi(x)]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{2E(\vec{k})} \left[|\vec{k}|^2 e^{-2i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(-\vec{k}, t) + |\vec{k}|^2 \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\vec{k}|^2 \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) + |\vec{k}|^2 e^{2i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(-\vec{k}, t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{3} & \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{2E(\vec{k})} m^2 \left[e^{-2i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(-\vec{k}, t) + \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) + e^{2i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(-\vec{k}, t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$H = \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \textcircled{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{2E(\vec{k})} 2k_0^2 \left[\hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) + \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\vec{k} E(\vec{k}) \left[\hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) + \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, t) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) \quad \vec{p} &= - \int d^3 \vec{x} \hat{\pi}(\vec{x}) \nabla \hat{\phi}(\vec{x}) \\
&= - \int d^3 \vec{x} \int d^3 \vec{k} \int d^3 \vec{k}' [-i k_0 f_{\vec{k}} \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + i k_0 f_{\vec{k}}^* \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})] \\
&\quad \cdot [i \vec{k}' f_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}(\vec{k}') - i \vec{k}' f_{\vec{k}'}^* \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}')] \\
&= - \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{2E(\vec{k})} [-k_0 \vec{k} e^{-2i k_0 t} \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(-\vec{k}) - k_0 \vec{k} \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(-\vec{k}) \\
&\quad - k_0 \vec{k} \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) - k_0 \vec{k} \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(-\vec{k}) e^{2i k_0 t}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{k} \vec{k} [+ e^{-2i k_0 t} \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(-\vec{k}) + \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \\
&\quad + \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + e^{2i k_0 t} \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(-\vec{k})]
\end{aligned}$$

As $[\hat{a}(\vec{k}), \hat{a}(\vec{k}')] = [\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}')] = 0$, terms like $\vec{k} e^{-2i k_0 t} \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(-\vec{k})$ and $\vec{k} e^{2i k_0 t} \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(-\vec{k})$ are odd functions of \vec{k} . They don't contribute to the integral.

Finally we have:

$$\vec{p} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{k} \vec{k} [\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) + \hat{a}(\vec{k}) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})]$$