Solutions to Problem Set 4/Problem 5 Physics 480 / Fall 1999 Professor Klaus Schulten / Prepared by Guochun Shi

Problem 5: Algebraic Solutions for Stationary States of Morse Potential [L. Infeld and T. E. Hull, The Factorization Method, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 23, 21–68 (1951)]

The following problem will demonstrate that the method of creation and annihilation operators A^{\pm} , introduced for the linear harmonic oscillator, can be generalized to other potentials. For this purpose we consider the one-dimensional time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + U(y)\right]\phi(y) = E\phi(y) \tag{1}$$

for the so-called Morse potential often employed to model the interaction between atoms and molecules (D > 0)

$$U(y) = D \left[e^{-2ay} - 2e^{-ay} \right].$$
(2)

We seek to determine the eigenvalues and wave functions of the bound states of the Morse potential.

- (a) Show
 - 1. For the bound states holds E < 0. [Hint: Plot the potential (2).]
 - 2. The lowest eigenvalue should be

$$E_o = -D + a\hbar \sqrt{\frac{D}{2m}} - \epsilon, \ \epsilon > 0 \ . \tag{3}$$

[Hint: Compare the plot of the potential (2) with a plot of its quadratic expansion at its minimum.]

3. Provide an estimate for the number of stationary bound states of the Morse potential. Evaluate for this purpose the classical action integral $\int dy p(y)$ for motion at E = 0.

(b) Show that the stationary Schrödinger equation for the Morse potential through the transformation of variables

$$x = -ay + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{8mD}}{a\hbar}\right) , \qquad (4)$$

$$s + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{2mD}}{a\hbar} , \qquad (5)$$

$$t^2 = -\frac{2mE}{a^2\hbar^2} > 0 \tag{6}$$

yields

$$\mathcal{H}_{s}\phi_{t}(x) = \left[-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} + \frac{1}{4}e^{2x} - \left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right)e^{x}\right]\phi_{t}(x) = -t^{2}\phi_{t}(x) \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \frac{2m}{a^2\hbar^2}H$$
, *s* defined through (5). (8)

Consider in the following s as a variable and t as a constant. Show that (7) is equivalent to

$$A_{s+1}^{-}A_{s+1}^{+}\phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) = [(s+1)^{2} - t^{2}]\phi_{t}^{(s)}(x)$$
(9)

as well as to

$$A_s^+ A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x) = [s^2 - t^2] \phi_t^{(s)}(x)$$
(10)

where

$$A_s^{\pm} = \mp \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{e^x}{2} - s \,. \tag{11}$$

(c) Show that for fixed t the operators A_s^+ , A_s^- generate new solutions to Eq. (7) according to the rule

$$A_{s+1}^{+}\phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) = c_{s}\phi_{t}^{(s+1)}(x), \qquad (12)$$

$$A_{t}^{-}\phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) = d_{t}\phi_{t}^{(s-1)}(x) \qquad (13)$$

$$A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x) = d_s \phi_t^{(s-1)}(x) .$$
(13)

For the normalization factor d_s holds (as long as the functions $\phi_t^{(s)}(x)$ and $\phi_t^{(s-1)}(x)$ are normalizable)

$$d_s^2 = s^2 - t^2 . (14)$$

Why should hold s > t?

(d) Equation (7) above can only have bound states, i.e., normalizable solutions, for s > t. This implies that the sequence $\dots A_{s-2}^{-}A_{s-1}^{-}A_{s}^{-}\phi_{t}^{(s)}(x)$ for s - n < 0 leads to a solution which is not admissable as a bound state. Hence, the sequence must break up for some s_{o} , i.e., there must exist an s_{o} for which holds

$$A_{s_o}^- \phi_t^{(s_o)}(x) = 0.$$
 (15)

Show that this property implies $s_o = t$ and $s = t, t + 1, t + 2 \dots$

(e) Argue under which condition the derivation in (d) yields the allowed negative eigenvalues for the Morse potential

$$E_{n} = -D + a\hbar \sqrt{\frac{2D}{m}} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{a^{2}\hbar^{2}}{2m} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} ,$$

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \leq \frac{\sqrt{2mD}}{a\hbar} - \frac{1}{2} .$$
(16)

Rationalize the upper bound for n in view of the derivations in (c), (d).

(f) Assume in the following D = a = 1 and $\sqrt{2m}/\hbar = 3$. Determine and plot the wave function for n = 0. To normalize the wave function use

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{z-1} \, e^{-t} \tag{17}$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the Gamma function.

(g) How can one obtain also the stationary states corresponding to the energies (16) for n > 0. Determine and plot the wave functions of these states using Mathematica.

Solution

(a) The potential depicted in Figure 1 has a shape which yields classical bounded motion only for E < 0. In fact, for $E \ge 0$ there are two classical turning points, one at y < 0 and one at $y \to \infty$.

To obtain an estimate for the lowest energy of the stationary states of the system we expand the potential around its minimum

$$U(y) \approx U_o(y) = U(y_{min}) + \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{d^2 U}{dy^2} \right|_{y_{min}} (y - y_{min})^2 \,. \tag{18}$$

 y_{min} can be determined from $dU(y_{min})/dy = 0$ from which follows

$$-2 D a \left(e^{-2ay_{min}} - e^{-ay_{min}} \right) = 0$$
 (19)

, i.e., $\exp(-ay_{min}) = 1$, or

$$y_{min} = 0. (20)$$

Using

$$U(y_{min}) = -D , \quad \frac{d^2 U}{dy^2}\Big|_{y_{min}} = 2 D a^2$$
 (21)



Figure 1: Comparision of Morse potential U(y) (2) and its quadratic approximation $U_o(y)$ (22) for D = a = 1.

one obtains for the quadratic approximation (18)

$$U_o(y) = -D + D a^2 y^2 . (22)$$

Stationary states exist for $U_o(y)$ for the energies

$$E_n^{(o)} = -D + \hbar a \sqrt{\frac{2D}{m}} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \infty$$
 (23)

In Figure 1 we compare the Morse potential (2) with its quadratic approximation (18). Since the Morse potential U(y) is flatter than the harmonic potential $U_o(y)$ one expects that the energy values of the stationary states of U(y) are lower than those of $U_o(y)$. In particular, (3) should hold for the lowest energy bound state.

Furthermore, one expects that U(y) has only a finite number of stationary bound states. The number of bound states can be estimated using the classical action integral

$$S(E) = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int_{y_{\ell}(E)}^{y_{r}(E)} dy \sqrt{2m [E - U(y)]}$$
(24)

where $y_{\ell,r}(E)$ are the left/right classical turning points at energy E. One expects that the quantity s defined through

$$S(0) = \left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2\pi \tag{25}$$

provides an upper bound for the number of bound states. One obtains in the present case for the left turning point $y_{\ell}(0) = -\frac{1}{a} \ln 2$ and $y_r(0) \to \infty$ and, hence,

$$S(0) = \int_{-\frac{1}{a}\ln 2}^{\infty} dy \sqrt{\frac{8mD}{\hbar^2} (2e^{-ay} - e^{-2ay})} .$$
 (26)

The change of variables $y' = 2 \exp(ay)$ yields

$$S(0) = \sqrt{\frac{32mD}{\hbar^2 a^2}} \int_1^\infty dy' \, \frac{\sqrt{y'-1}}{y'} \,. \tag{27}$$

The integral on the r.h.s. has the value $\pi/2$ and, hence,

$$S(0) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar a} \sqrt{2mD} \tag{28}$$

Comparison with (25) yields

$$s + \frac{1}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2mD}{\hbar^2 a^2}}$$
 (29)

The related integer [s] (n = [s]) is defined to be the largest integer with the property $n \leq s$.) provides then a semiclassical estimate for the number of bound states of the Morse potential.

(b) The suggested change of variables (4) is to be applied to the timeindependent Schrödinger equation (1). One obtains

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{4}e^{2x} - \sqrt{\frac{2mD}{\hbar^2 a^2}}e^x\right)\phi_E(x) = \frac{2mE}{\hbar^2 a^2}\phi_E(x)$$
(30)

Employing (5,6) – note that according to (29) the quantity s introduced here is an upper bound for the number of bound states of the potential – the timeindependent Schrödinger equation is

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{4}e^{2x} - (s+\frac{1}{2})e^x\right)\phi_t(x) = -t^2\phi_t(x).$$
(31)

The label 't' denotes the energy of the respective states.

To demonstrate that (9) is equivalent to (31) we insert the definition (11) into (9) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{s+1}^{-}A_{s+1}^{+}\phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) \\
&= \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2}e^{x} - (s+1)\right) \left(-\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2}e^{x} - (s+1)\right) \phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) \\
&= \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} + \frac{1}{4}e^{2x} - (s+\frac{1}{2})e^{x} + (s+1)^{2}\right) \phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) \end{aligned} (32)$$

which is equivalent to (9). Hence, (9) states the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the bound states of a Morse potential characterized through its s-value [see (5, 29)].

Similarly, one can demonstrate that (10) is equivalent to (31). Inserting (11) into (10) one obtains

$$A_s^+ A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x) = \left(-\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2}e^x - s\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2}e^x - s\right) \phi_t^{(s)}(x)$$
$$= \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{4}e^{2x} - (s + \frac{1}{2})e^x + s^2\right) \phi_t^{(s)}(x) . \quad (33)$$

(c) In order to prove property (12) we demonstrate that $A_{s+1}^+ \phi_t^{(s)}(x)$ is a solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for a Morse potential characterized through s+1. For this purpose we show that $A_{s+1}^+ \phi_t^{(s)}(x)$ satisfies (10) for $s \to s+1$, i.e., we prove

$$A_{s+1}^{+} A_{s+1}^{-} A_{s+1}^{+} \phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) = \left[(s+1)^{2} - t^{2} \right] A_{s+1}^{+} \phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) .$$
(34)

In fact, using (9) one can rewrite the l.h.s. of (34)

$$A_{s+1}^{+} A_{s+1}^{-} A_{s+1}^{+} \phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) = A_{s+1}^{+} \left[(s+1)^{2} - t^{2} \right] \phi_{t}^{(s)}(x) .$$
 (35)

Similarly, to prove (12) we demonstrate that $A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x)$ is a solution of the timedependent Schrödinger equation for a Morse potential characterized through s-1. For this purpose we show that $A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x)$ satisfies (9) for $s \to s-1$, i.e., we prove

$$A_s^- A_s^+ A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x) = [s^2 - t^2] A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x) .$$
(36)

This follows again readily noting that (10) allows one to rewrite the l.h.s. of (36)

$$A_s^- A_s^+ A_s^- \phi_t^{(s)}(x) = A_s^- [s^2 - t^2] \phi_t^{(s)}(x) .$$
(37)

We want to determine now the normalization constant d_s defined through (13). We assume that the states $\phi_t^{(s)}(x)$ are normalized, i.e.,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, |\phi_t^{(s)}(x)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, |\phi_t^{(s-1)}(x)|^2 \,. \tag{38}$$

We will exploit in our derivation that the operators A_s^+ and A_s^- are adjoint to each other, i.e., it holds,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx f(x) A_s^+ g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx g(x) A_s^- f(x) .$$
 (39)

This property follows readily from the definition (11), integration by parts and using that, for bounds states, f(x), g(x) must vanish at $x \to \pm \infty$. It follows then

$$|d_s|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, A_s^- \, \overline{\phi_t^{(s)}(x)} \, A_s^- \, \phi_t^{(s)}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, \overline{\phi_t^{(s)}(x)} \, A_s^+ \, A_s^- \, \phi_t^{(s)}(x) \, .$$
(40)

Using (10) yields

$$|d_s|^2 = s^2 - t^2 . (41)$$

Obviously, s > t must hold for the latter equation to be true.

(d) According to (14) the l.h.s. of (15) is proportional to $s_o^2 - t^2$. This factor vanishes for $s_o = t$. The solution of (15) is then the function $\phi_{s_o}^{(s_o)}(x)$. The action of the operator $A_{s_o+1}^+$ according to (12) yields the state $\phi_{s_o}^{(s_o+1)}(x)$, the operator $A_{s_o+2}^+$ yields the function $\phi_{s_o}^{(s_o+2)}(x)$.

(e) We are actually interested in the eigenfunctions of a fixed Morse potential, i.e., for fixed s. According to our construction we can state that the bound state wave functions of the type

$$\phi_s^{(s)}(x), \, \phi_{s-1}^{(s)}(x), \, \phi_{s-2}^{(s)}(x), \, \dots, \, \phi_{s-[s]}^{(s)}(x) \tag{42}$$

exist. The energies of these states according to (5, 6, 7) are

$$E_n = -\frac{\hbar^2 a^2}{2m} (s - n)^2 , \quad n = 0, 1, \dots [s], \quad s = \frac{\sqrt{2mD}}{\hbar a} - \frac{1}{2}.$$
(43)

Note that in case s < 0 the Morse potential does not have any bound state. One can express E_n as given in (43)

$$E_n = -D + \hbar a \sqrt{\frac{2D}{m}} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\hbar^2 a^2}{2m} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2.$$
(44)

which is identical to (16). The first two terms agree with the eigenvalues (23) of the quadratic approximation (18, 22) of the Morse potential. The third term is the non-harmonic correction.

(f) The wave function corresponding to E_0 , i.e., to n = 0 in (16, 43), is defined through

$$A_s^- \phi_s^{(s)}(x) = 0. (45)$$

According to (11) this corresponds to the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dx}\phi_s^{(s)}(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}e^x + s\right)\phi_s^{(s)}(x)$$
(46)

$$\frac{d}{dx}\ln\phi_s^{(s)}(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}e^x + s\right).$$
(47)

The solution of this equation is

$$\phi_s^{(s)}(x) = C' \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}e^x + s\,x\right) \,. \tag{48}$$

Using $x = -a y + \ln (2s + 1)$, which follows from (4, 5), the function expressed in terms of the original coordinate y is

$$\phi_s^{(s)}(x) = C_s \exp\left(-(s+\frac{1}{2})e^{-ay} - s \, a \, y\right) \,. \tag{49}$$

The normalization factor is determined through the condition

$$|C_s|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \exp\left(-(2s+1)e^{-ay} - 2s \, a \, y\right) = 1.$$
 (50)

This condition can be written

$$|C_s|^2 a^{-1} \qquad \left[\int_0^\infty dy \exp\left(-(2s+1)e^y + 2sy\right) + \int_0^\infty dy \exp\left(-(2s+1)e^{-y} - 2sy\right) \right] = 1.$$
(51)

Introducing the variable $t = e^y$ in the first integral, $t = e^{-y}$ in the second integral and combining the resulting expressions yields

$$|C_s|^2 a^{-1} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{2s-1} \exp[-(2s+1)t]$$

= $|C_s|^2 \frac{1}{(2s+1)^{2s}a} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{2s-1} \exp[-t] = 1.$ (52)

Employing the definition (17) of the gamma function yields

$$C_s = \sqrt{\frac{(2s+1)^{2s}a}{\Gamma(2s)}} \,. \tag{53}$$

The ground state wave function is then

$$\phi_s^{(s)}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{(2s+1)^{2s}a}{\Gamma(2s)}} \exp\left[-(s+\frac{1}{2})e^{-ay} - say\right].$$
 (54)

Figure 2 shows a plot of the wave function for s = 3.

or



Figure 2: Ground state wave function $\phi_s^{(s)}(y)$, i.e., (54), for Morse potential with D = a = 1 and s = 3.

(g) For s = 3 the Morse potential has three bound states, i.e., beside the state (54), also the states $\phi_{s-1}^{(s)}$ and $\phi_{s-2}^{(s)}$. These states can be determined from the states $\phi_{s-1}^{(s-1)}$ and $\phi_{s-2}^{(s-2)}$, respectively. One applies for this purpose (12). The constants c_s , which appear in (12), are $c_s = \sqrt{(s+1)^2 - t^2}$, an expression which can be derived in an analogous way to expression (41). Hence,

$$\phi_{s-1}^{(s)}(y) = \frac{A_s^+}{\sqrt{s^2 - (s-1)^2}} \phi_{s-1}^{(s-1)}(y)$$
(55)

$$\phi_{s-2}^{(s)}(y) = \frac{A_s^+ A_{s-1}^+}{\sqrt{[s^2 - (s-2)^2][(s-1)^2 - (s-2)^2]}} \phi_{s-2}^{(s-2)}(y)$$
(56)

where

$$A_s^+ = -\frac{d}{dy} + s e^{-ay} - s . (57)$$

It is of interest to compare these wave functions graphically to the corresponding wave functions of the potential $U_o(y)$, i.e., to the harmonic oscillator wave functions for n = 1, 2.