Interactive Molecular Visualization and Analysis with GPU Computing

John E. Stone

Theoretical and Computational Biophysics Group Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd/

> American Chemical Society Fall Meeting Indianapolis, Indiana, September 11, 2013



NIH BTRC for Macromolecular Modeling and Bioinformatics http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/

VMD – "Visual Molecular Dynamics"

- Visualization and analysis of:
 - molecular dynamics simulations
 - quantum chemistry calculations
 - particle systems and whole cells
 - sequence data
- User extensible w/ scripting and plugins
- http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd/



Electrons in Vibrating Buckyball



Cellular Tomography, Cryo-electron Microscopy





Ribosome Sequences



Whole Cell Simulations

CUDA GPU-Accelerated Trajectory Analysis and Visualization in VMD

| GPU-Accelerated Feature or Kernel | Typical speedup vs. a single CPU core |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Molecular orbital display | 120x |
| Radial distribution function | 92x |
| Ray tracing w/ shadows | 46x |
| Electrostatic field calculation | 44x |
| Molecular surface display | 40x |
| Ion placement | 26x |
| MDFF density map synthesis | 26x |
| Implicit ligand sampling | 25x |
| Root mean squared fluctuation | 25x |
| Radius of gyration | 21x |
| Close contact determination | 20x |
| Dipole moment calculation | 15x |







NAMD Titan XK7 Performance August 2013



VMD Petascale Visualization and Analysis

- Analyze/visualize large trajectories too large to transfer off-site:
 - Compute time-averaged electrostatic fields, MDFF quality-of-fit, etc.
 - User-defined parallel analysis operations, data types
 - Parallel rendering, movie making
- Parallel I/O rates up to 275 GB/sec on 8192 Cray XE6 nodes – can read in 231 TB in 15 minutes!
- Multi-level dynamic load balancing tested with up to 262,144 CPU cores
- Supports GPU-accelerated Cray XK7 nodes for both visualization and analysis usage



NCSA Blue Waters Hybrid Cray XE6 / XK7 Supercomputer 22,640 XE6 CPU nodes

4,224 XK7 nodes w/ GPUs support fast VMD OpenGL movie rendering and visualization

VMD for Demanding Analysis Tasks Parallel VMD Analysis w/ MPI

- Compute time-averaged electrostatic fields, MDFF quality-of-fit, etc.
- Parallel rendering, movie making
- User-defined parallel reduction operations, data types
- Parallel I/O on Blue Waters:
 - 109 GB/sec on 512 nodes
 - 275 GB/sec on 8,192 nodes
- Timeline per-residue SASA calc. achieves 800x speedup @ 1000 BW XE6 nodes
- Supports GPU-accelerated clusters and supercomputers

Sequence/Structure Data, Trajectory Frames, etc...



Time-Averaged Electrostatics Analysis on Energy-Efficient GPU Cluster

- 1.5 hour job (CPUs) reduced to 3 min (CPUs+GPU)
- Electrostatics of thousands of trajectory frames averaged
- Per-node power consumption on NCSA "AC" GPU cluster:
 - CPUs-only: 299 watts
 - CPUs+GPUs: 742 watts
- GPU Speedup: 25.5x
- Power efficiency gain: **10.5**x

Quantifying the Impact of GPUs on Performance and Energy Efficiency in HPC Clusters. J. Enos, C. Steffen, J. Fullop, M. Showerman, G. Shi, K. Esler, V. Kindratenko, J. Stone, J. Phillips. *The Work in Progress in Green Computing*, pp. 317-324, 2010.

Time-Averaged Electrostatics Analysis on NCSA Blue Waters

| NCSA Blue Waters Node Type | Seconds per trajectory frame for one compute node |
|---|---|
| Cray XE6 Compute Node: 32 CPU cores (2xAMD 6200 CPUs) | 9.33 |
| Cray XK6 GPU-accelerated Compute Node: 16 CPU cores + NVIDIA X2090 (Fermi) GPU | 2.25 |
| Speedup for GPU XK6 nodes vs. CPU XE6 nodes | XK6 nodes are 4.15x faster overall |
| Tests on XK7 nodes indicate MSM is CPU-bound with the Kepler K20X GPU. Performance is not much faster (yet) than Fermi X2090 Need to move spatial hashing, prolongation, interpolation onto the GPU | In progress XK7 nodes 4.3x faster overall |

Preliminary performance for VMD time-averaged electrostatics w/ Multilevel Summation Method on the NCSA Blue Waters Early Science System



VMD "QuickSurf" Representation

- Displays continuum of structural detail:
 - All-atom models
 - Coarse-grained models
 - Cellular scale models
 - Multi-scale models: All-atom + CG, Brownian + Whole Cell
 - Smoothly variable between full detail, and reduced resolution representations of very large complexes



Fast Visualization of Gaussian Density Surfaces for Molecular Dynamics and Particle System Trajectories.

M. Krone, J. E. Stone, T. Ertl, K. Schulten. EuroVis Short Papers, pp. 67-71, 2012

VMD "QuickSurf" Representation

- Uses multi-core CPUs and GPU acceleration to enable **smooth** real-time animation of MD trajectories
- Linear-time algorithm, scales to millions of particles, as limited by memory capacity





Satellite Tobacco Mosaic Virus

Lattice Cell Simulations

VMD "QuickSurf" Representation



All-atom HIV capsid simulations

QuickSurf Algorithm Overview

- Build spatial acceleration data structures, optimize data for GPU
- Compute 3-D density map,
 3-D volumetric texture map:

$$\rho(\vec{r}; \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{\frac{-|\vec{r}-\vec{r}_i|^2}{2\alpha^2}}$$

• Extract isosurface for a user-defined density value



3-D density map lattice, spatial acceleration grid, and extracted surface



QuickSurf Density Map Algorithm

- Spatial acceleration grid cells are sized to match the cutoff radius for the exponential, beyond which density contributions are negligible
- Density map lattice points computed by summing density contributions from particles in 3x3x3 grid of neighboring spatial acceleration cells
- Volumetric texture map is computed by summing particle colors normalized by their individual density contribution



3-D density map lattice point and the neighboring spatial acceleration cells it references



QuickSurf Density Parallel Decomposition





1867

QuickSurf Density Map Kernel Snippet...

for (zab=zabmin; zab<=zabmax; zab++) {</pre>

```
for (yab=yabmin; yab<=yabmax; yab++) {</pre>
```

```
for (xab=xabmin; xab<=xabmax; xab++) {</pre>
```

```
int abcellidx = zab * acplanesz + yab * acncells.x + xab;
```

uint2 atomstartend = cellStartEnd[abcellidx];

if (atomstartend.x != GRID_CELL_EMPTY) {

for (unsigned int atomid=atomstartend.x; atomid<atomstartend.y; atomid++) {

float4 atom = sorted_xyzr[atomid];

float dx = coorx - atom.x; float dy = coory - atom.y; float dz = coorz - atom.z;

float $dxy2 = dx^*dx + dy^*dy$;

```
float r21 = (dxy2 + dz^*dz)^* atom.w;
```

densityval1 += exp2f(r21);

/// Loop unrolling and register tiling benefits begin here.....

float dz2 = dz + gridspacing;

float r22 = (dxy2 + dz2*dz2) * atom.w;

densityval2 += exp2f(r22);

```
/// More loop unrolling ....
```



Challenge: Support GPU-accelerated QuickSurf for Large Biomolecular Complexes

- Structures such as HIV initially needed all XK7 GPU memory to generate detailed surface renderings
- Goals and approach:
 - Avoid slow CPU-fallback!
 - Incrementally change algorithm phases to use more compact data types, while maintaining performance
 - Specialize code for different precision/performance/memory capacity cases





Supporting Multiple Data Types for QuickSurf Density Maps and Marching Cubes Vertex Arrays

- The major algorithm components of QuickSurf are now used for many other purposes:
 - Gaussian density map algorithm now used for MDFF Cryo EM density map fitting methods in addition to QuickSurf
 - Marching Cubes routines also used for Quantum Chemistry visualizations of molecular orbitals
- Rather than simply changing QuickSurf to use a particular internal numerical representation, it is desirable to instead use CUDA C++ templates to make type-generic versions of the key objects, kernels, and output vertex arrays
- Accuracy-sensitive algorithms use high-precision data types, performance and memory capacity sensitive cases use quantized or reduced precision approaches



Improving QuickSurf Memory Efficiency

- Both host and GPU memory capacity limitations are a significant concern when rendering surfaces for virus structures such as HIV or for large cellular models which can contain hundreds of millions of particles
- The original QuickSurf implementation used single-precision floating point for output vertex arrays and textures
- Judicious use of reduced-precision numerical representations, cut the overall memory footprint of the entire QuickSurf algorithm to half of the original
 - Data type changes made throughout the entire chain from density map computation through all stages of Marching Cubes



Minimizing the Impact of Generality on QuickSurf Code Complexity

- A critical factor in the simplicity of supporting multiple QuickSurf data types arises from the so-called "gather" oriented algorithm we employ
 - Internally, all in-register arithmetic is single-precision
 - Compressed or reduced precision data type conversions are performed on-the-fly as needed
- Small inlined type conversion routines are defined for each of the cases we want to support
- Key QuickSurf kernels made type-generic using C++ template syntax, and the compiler **automatically** generates type-specific kernels as needed



Example Templated Density Map Kernel

template<class DENSITY, class VOLTEX>

__global__ static void

gaussdensity_fast_tex_norm(int natoms,

const float4 * RESTRICT sorted_xyzr, const float4 * RESTRICT sorted color, int3 numvoxels, int3 acncells. float acgridspacing, float invacgridspacing, const uint2 * RESTRICT cellStartEnd, float gridspacing, unsigned int z, **DENSITY** * **RESTRICT** densitygrid, **VOLTEX * RESTRICT voltexmap**, float invisovalue) {



Example Templated Density Map Kernel

template<class DENSITY, class VOLTEX>

__global__ static void gaussdensity_fast_tex_norm(...) {

... Triple-nested and unrolled inner loops here ...

DENSITY densityout; VOLTEX texout; convert_density(densityout, densityval1); densitygrid[outaddr] = densityout; convert_color(texout, densitycol1); voltexmap[outaddr] = texout;



Net Result of QuickSurf Memory Efficiency Optimizations

- Halved overall GPU memory use
- Achieved 1.5x to 2x performance gain:
 - The "gather" density map algorithm keeps type conversion operations out of the innermost loop
 - Density map global memory writes reduced to half
 - Multiple stages of Marching Cubes operate on smaller input and output data types
 - Same code path supports multiple precisions
- Users now get full GPU-accelerated QuickSurf in many cases that previously triggered CPU-fallback, all platforms (laptop/desk/super) benefit!



Ray Tracing Molecular Graphics w/ OptiX+CUDA

- Ambient occlusion lighting, shadows, reflections, transparency, and more...
- Satellite tobacco mosaic virus capsid w/ ~75K atoms







Prototype VMD/OptiX GPU ray tracing w/ ambient occlusion lighting

BW VMD/Tachyon Movie Generation



480 XE6 nodes for 85m @ 4096x2400

BW VMD/Tachyon Movie Generation



20 M atom chromatophore patch

360 XE6 nodes for 3h50m @ 4096x2400

VMD Ray Tracing Test Sep. 2013

- Tachyon (two Xeon E5-2687W, 16 CPU cores): 82 seconds
- OptiX (Quadro K6000): 28 seconds (2.9x faster)
- 51M triangles in surface,
 3.6GB GPU RAM
- Direct lighting, shadows, depth cueing, 12 AA samples/pixel '



"Simple" 2.4M atom HIV-1 scene

Next Steps for VMD GPU Ray Tracing: Add Ambient Occlusion Lighting, Attenuated Lights, Volumetric Texturing, Shadow Filtering



Acknowledgements

- Theoretical and Computational Biophysics Group, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- NCSA Blue Waters Team
- NVIDIA CUDA Center of Excellence, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- Many of the staff at NVIDIA and Cray
- Funding:
 - NSF OCI 07-25070
 - NSF PRAC "The Computational Microscope"
 - NIH support: 9P41GM104601, 5R01GM098243-02





NIH BTRC for Macromolecular Modeling and Bioinformatics

and a

Beckman Institute University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

TITUTE

1990-2017



NIH BTRC for Macromolecular Modeling and Bioinformatics http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/ Beckman Institute, U. Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

- Early Experiences Scaling VMD Molecular Visualization and Analysis Jobs on Blue Waters. J. E. Stone, B. Isralewitz, and K. Schulten. In proceedings, Extreme Scaling Workshop, 2013.
- Lattice Microbes: High-performance stochastic simulation method for the reaction-diffusion master equation.
 E. Roberts, J. E. Stone, and Z. Luthey-Schulten.
 J. Computational Chemistry 34 (3), 245-255, 2013.
- Fast Visualization of Gaussian Density Surfaces for Molecular Dynamics and Particle System Trajectories. M. Krone, J. E. Stone, T. Ertl, and K. Schulten. *EuroVis Short Papers*, pp. 67-71, 2012.
- Immersive Out-of-Core Visualization of Large-Size and Long-Timescale Molecular Dynamics Trajectories. J. Stone, K. Vandivort, and K. Schulten. G. Bebis et al. (Eds.): *7th International Symposium on Visual Computing (ISVC 2011)*, LNCS 6939, pp. 1-12, 2011.
- Fast Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectories with Graphics Processing Units – Radial Distribution Functions. B. Levine, J. Stone, and A. Kohlmeyer. J. Comp. Physics, 230(9):3556-3569, 2011.



- Quantifying the Impact of GPUs on Performance and Energy Efficiency in HPC Clusters. J. Enos, C. Steffen, J. Fullop, M. Showerman, G. Shi, K. Esler, V. Kindratenko, J. Stone, J Phillips. *International Conference on Green Computing*, pp. 317-324, 2010.
- GPU-accelerated molecular modeling coming of age. J. Stone, D. Hardy, I. Ufimtsev, K. Schulten. J. Molecular Graphics and Modeling, 29:116-125, 2010.
- OpenCL: A Parallel Programming Standard for Heterogeneous Computing. J. Stone, D. Gohara, G. Shi. *Computing in Science and Engineering*, 12(3):66-73, 2010.
- An Asymmetric Distributed Shared Memory Model for Heterogeneous Computing Systems. I. Gelado, J. Stone, J. Cabezas, S. Patel, N. Navarro, W. Hwu. ASPLOS '10: Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems, pp. 347-358, 2010.



- GPU Clusters for High Performance Computing. V. Kindratenko, J. Enos, G. Shi, M. Showerman, G. Arnold, J. Stone, J. Phillips, W. Hwu. *Workshop on Parallel Programming on Accelerator Clusters (PPAC),* In Proceedings IEEE Cluster 2009, pp. 1-8, Aug. 2009.
- Long time-scale simulations of in vivo diffusion using GPU hardware.
 E. Roberts, J. Stone, L. Sepulveda, W. Hwu, Z. Luthey-Schulten. In *IPDPS'09: Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE International Symposium on Parallel & Distributed Computing*, pp. 1-8, 2009.
- High Performance Computation and Interactive Display of Molecular Orbitals on GPUs and Multi-core CPUs. J. Stone, J. Saam, D. Hardy, K. Vandivort, W. Hwu, K. Schulten, 2nd Workshop on General-Purpose Computation on Graphics Pricessing Units (GPGPU-2), ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, volume 383, pp. 9-18, 2009.
- **Probing Biomolecular Machines with Graphics Processors**. J. Phillips, J. Stone. *Communications of the ACM*, 52(10):34-41, 2009.
- Multilevel summation of electrostatic potentials using graphics processing units. D. Hardy, J. Stone, K. Schulten. *J. Parallel Computing*, 35:164-177, 2009.



- Adapting a message-driven parallel application to GPU-accelerated clusters. J. Phillips, J. Stone, K. Schulten. *Proceedings of the 2008 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing*, IEEE Press, 2008.
- GPU acceleration of cutoff pair potentials for molecular modeling applications. C. Rodrigues, D. Hardy, J. Stone, K. Schulten, and W. Hwu. *Proceedings of the 2008 Conference On Computing Frontiers*, pp. 273-282, 2008.
- **GPU computing**. J. Owens, M. Houston, D. Luebke, S. Green, J. Stone, J. Phillips. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 96:879-899, 2008.
- Accelerating molecular modeling applications with graphics processors. J. Stone, J. Phillips, P. Freddolino, D. Hardy, L. Trabuco, K. Schulten. *J. Comp. Chem.*, 28:2618-2640, 2007.
- Continuous fluorescence microphotolysis and correlation spectroscopy. A. Arkhipov, J. Hüve, M. Kahms, R. Peters, K. Schulten. *Biophysical Journal*, 93:4006-4017, 2007.

