# Accurate calculation of ligand binding energy





#### James C. (JC) Gumbart

Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta

### Chris Chipot

U. Illinois, Urbana & CNRS, U. Lorraine France

#### Computational Biophysics Workshop | DICP | July 11 2018

# Outline

**I.** What is an **absolute** binding energy?

I. Using restraints to reduce the sampling problem

**III.** Calculating the requisite PMFs

**IV.** Comparing geometric with alchemical approach

V. Illustration with barstar-barnase binding



http://phdcomics.com/

WWW. PHDCOMICS. COM

## **Challenge: Absolute binding free energies**



# Illustration using Abl SH3 domain

A well known and conserved domain of Abl kinase

**Chosen ligand**: APSYSPPPPP (flexible!)

designed to bind with high affinity

peptide, so doesn't require novel parametrization

# $\Delta G^0$ = -7.94 kcal/mol (exp) MM/PBSA estimate: -2.6 kcal/mol !

Pisabarro, M. T.; Serrano, L. *Biochemistry* **1996**, 35, 10634-10640 Hou, T. et al. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2006**, 2, 0046-0055



Woo, H. J.; Roux, B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2005, 102, 6825-6830

## How to get $K_{eq}$ and $\Delta G$ ?



Forcibly separate the ligand from the protein and calculate a PMF



Make the ligand vanish from the binding site and from bulk water



#### Both approaches suffer major sampling deficiencies when used on their own!!!

## **Overcoming sampling issues with restraints**





Bound state RMSD restrained

Assorted spatial/rotational restraints

-Design set of restraints to reduce conformational space needed to be sampled



-Contributions of each restraint to free energy need to be rigorously computed

Free state RMSD restrained

Remember! Biasing is okay as long as we can unbias

## **Overcoming sampling issues with restraints**



# **Binding free energy (geometrical route)**



$$K_{eq} = \frac{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta U}}{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c)}} \times \frac{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c)}}{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c)}} \times \frac{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c)}}{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}}{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e+u_e)}}{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}}{\int_{site} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e+u_e)}}{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}}{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}}{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}}{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}} \times \frac{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}}{\int_{bulk} d\mathbf{l} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta (U+u_c+u_e)}}$$

Acids Res. 40:3812-3821.

## How to evaluate all of these integrals?

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} \, e^{-\beta(U+u_c+u_\Theta+u_\Phi)}}{\int_{\text{site}} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} \, e^{-\beta(U+u_c+u_\Theta+u_\Phi)}} = \operatorname{ratio of integrals can be}_{\text{related to a free energy}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} \, e^{-\beta(U+u_c+u_\Theta+u_\Phi)}}{\int_{\text{site}} d\mathbf{l} \int d\mathbf{x} \, (e^{-\beta(U+u_c+u_\Theta+u_\Phi)})} = \frac{1}{e^{-\beta\Delta G_{\Psi}^{\text{site}}}} = e^{+\beta\Delta G_{\Psi}^{\text{site}}}$$

$$e^{+\beta\Delta G_{\Psi}^{\text{site}}} = \frac{\int d\Psi e^{-\beta[w_{\text{site}}(\Psi)]}}{\int d\Psi e^{-\beta[w_{\text{site}}(\Psi)+u_{\Psi}]}} \qquad \text{Potential of mean force, } w_{\text{site}}(\Psi),$$

$$e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Phi} d\Psi e^{-\beta[w_{\text{site}}(\Psi)+u_{\Psi}]}} \prod practice, one determines the PMFs successively and then integrates them as prescribed above}$$

## **Many PMFs are very straightforward**



# **Separation PMF from umbrella sampling**



#### entropic decay despite no interactions



PMF was already converged within ~20 ns

# Replica-exchange umbrella sampling (REUS)



-helps to circumvent limitations in **US** by exchanging coordinates periodically between different windows

-exchanges accepted with some probability:  $\min(1, e^{-\Delta E/kT})$ 

where 
$$\Delta E = (w_i(\xi_j) - w_i(\xi_i)) + (w_j(\xi_i) - w_j(\xi_j))$$

(swapped) (original) (swapped) (original)

#### See tutorial Methods for Calculating Potentials of Mean Force

## What you get in the end (a big mess!)



## Back to the Abl kinase story...

 $+\Delta G_r^{\text{sep}} - \Delta G_a^{\text{site}} = -7.7 \text{ kcal/mol}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{u_{1}} &= \int_{aut}^{built} \int dx \, e^{-\beta U} \frac{dt}{dx} \int dx \, e^{-\beta U} \frac{dt}{dx} \int dx \, e^{-\beta U + u_{1} + u_{2} +$$

 $\Delta G^0 = -7.94 \text{ kcal/mol}(exp)$  Agreement within 0.25 kcal/mol!

required

# There's more than one way to...

Can use **FEP** to (de)couple the ligand to the binding site of the protein



"Floating ligand" problem

 $\begin{array}{c} \Delta G_c^{\text{site}} \\ \Delta G_{\varnothing}^{\text{site}} \\ \Delta G_p^{\text{site}} \end{array} \end{array} \quad \text{Avoided through definition of a set of restraints} \end{array}$ 

 $\Delta G_a^{\text{site}}$  Follow a formalism akin to the reaction-coordinate

- Alchemical transformations performed bidirectionally using **FEP**
- Bennett acceptance ratio (BAR) estimator
- Free-energy contributions due to restraints measured using TI
- Most appropriate for buried ligands (no extraction pathway)

# The alchemical (FEP) route



$$\begin{split} K_{\rm eq} &= \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta U_1}}{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c)}} \\ &\times \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c)}}{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c+u_o)}} \\ &\times \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c+u_o)}}{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c+u_o+u_p)}} \\ &\times \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c+u_o+u_p)}}{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c+u_o+u_p)}} \\ &\times \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c+u_o+u_p+u_r)}} \\ &\times \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_1+u_c+u_o+u_p+u_r)}}{\displaystyle \int_{\rm site} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_0+u_c+u_o+u_p+u_r)}} \\ &\times \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm bulk} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_0+u_c+u_o+u_p+u_r)} \\ &\times \frac{\displaystyle \int_{\rm bulk} {\rm d} \mathbf{l} \int {\rm d} \mathbf{x} \; {\rm e}^{-\beta (U_0+u_c+u_o+u_p+u_r)} \\ \end{split}$$

$$\times \frac{\int_{\text{bulk}} d\mathbf{l} \,\,\delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} \,\, e^{-\beta(U_0 + u_c + u_o)}}{\int_{\text{bulk}} d\mathbf{l} \,\,\delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} \,\, e^{-\beta(U_0 + u_c)}} \\ \times \frac{\int_{\text{bulk}} d\mathbf{l} \,\,\delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} \,\, e^{-\beta(U_0 + u_c)}}{\int_{\text{bulk}} d\mathbf{l} \,\,\delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} \,\, e^{-\beta(U_1 + u_c)}} \\ \times \frac{\int_{\text{bulk}} d\mathbf{l} \,\,\delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} \,\, e^{-\beta(U_1 + u_c)}}{\int_{\text{bulk}} d\mathbf{l} \,\,\delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1^*) \int d\mathbf{x} \,\, e^{-\beta(U_1 + u_c)}}$$

## **Comparison of alchemical and geometric routes**



# **Comparison of alchemical and geometric routes**

$$K_{eq}^{FMF} = \frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U)}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e})}}$$

$$\times \frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +3.5 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +0.7 \text{ kcal/mol}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +0.7 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +0.3 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +0.3 \text{ kcal/mol}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e}+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = -14.6 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +5.8 \text{ kcal/mol}}$$

$$\frac{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e}+u_{e}+u_{e})}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +5.8 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}_{e}-\mathbf{x}_{e}) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e}+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +5.4 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}_{e}-\mathbf{x}_{e}) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = +5.4 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}_{e}-\mathbf{x}_{e}) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = -53.3 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}_{e}-\mathbf{x}_{e}) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = -5.4 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}_{e}-\mathbf{x}_{e}) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e})}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{e}^{\text{site}} = -5.3 \text{ kcal/mol}}{\int_{\text{site}} d1 \int d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}_{e}-\mathbf{x}_{e}) \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta(U+u_{e})}}$$

# **Error analysis**

Geometrical route  $\Delta A \simeq -\Delta \xi \sum_{i=1}^{p} \langle F_{\xi} \rangle_{\xi_i}$  $\begin{cases} \mu = \langle F_{\xi} \rangle_{\xi} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \langle F_{\xi} \rangle_{\xi_i} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_i \\ \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sigma_i^2 + (\mu - \mu_i)^2 \end{cases}$  $\langle |\Delta A - \overline{\Delta A}|^2 \rangle = (\Delta \xi)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \sum_{l=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{n_i n_j} \langle (F_{\xi,l}^i - \mu)(F_{\xi,k}^i - \mu) \rangle$  $\simeq (\Delta\xi)^2 \left\{ \frac{p}{N} \sum_{i=1}^p \left[ \sigma_i^2 + (\mu - \mu_i)^2 \right] + 2\sigma^2 \frac{p^2}{N} \kappa \right\}$  $\sigma_{\Delta A}\simeq \Delta \xi \; rac{\sigma}{N^{1/2}}(1+2\kappa)^{1/2}$ 

RMSD  $\Theta, \Phi, \Psi, \theta, \phi$ r ±0.5 kcal/mol ±0.2 kcal/mol ±0.4 kcal/mol ±0.9 kcal/mol

Hahn, A. M.; Then, H. Phys. Rev. E Stat. Nonlin. Soft Matter Phys. **2009**, 80, 031111 Pohorille, A. et al. J. Phys. Chem. B, **2010**, 114, 10235-10253 Alchemical route

$$\sigma_{\Delta \widehat{A}}^{2} = \frac{(1+2\kappa_{0})}{n_{0}\beta^{2}} \left(\frac{\langle f^{2}(x)\rangle_{0}}{\langle f(x)\rangle_{0}^{2}} - 1\right) + \frac{(1+2\kappa_{1})}{n_{1}\beta^{2}} \left(\frac{\langle f^{2}(-x)\rangle_{1}}{\langle f(-x)\rangle_{1}^{2}} - 1\right)$$

 $f(x) = 1/(1 + e^x)$  with  $x = \beta(\Delta U - C)$ 

- Low statistical errors Estimates burdened by system
- Estimates burdened by systematic error

RMSD  $\Theta, \Phi, \Psi, \theta, \phi$ ralchemy  $\pm 0.4$  kcal/mol  $\pm 0.0$  kcal/mol  $\pm 0.0$  kcal/mol  $\pm 0.7$  kcal/mol  $\pm 1.0$  kcal/mol

 $\pm 1.0 \text{ kcal/mol}$ 

#### often very tedious but you should still do it! (reviewers will often request it anyway!)

Rodriguez-Gomez, D. et al. J. Chem. Phys., **2004**, 120, 3563-3578 Hénin, J.; Chipot, C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *121*, 2904-2914

# **Error analysis**

#### Geometrical route

#### Advantages

- Rigorous, formally correct framework
- Reasonably inexpensive
- Access to the statistical error for all terms
- In principle, applicable to protein:protein dimers

#### Shortcomings

- Cumbersome
- Convergence of RMSD term; Degeneracy
- Convergence of separation term;  $\perp$  DoF's ?
- Limited to interfacial binding sites

#### Alchemical route

#### Advantages

- Rigorous, formally correct framework
- Reasonably inexpensive
- Access to the statistical error for all terms
- Embarrassingly parallelizable

#### Shortcomings

- Cumbersome
- Convergence of alchemical transformation
- Convergence of restraint term
- In principle, limited to small ligands

# **Protein-protein binding free energy**



Gumbart, Roux, Chipot. Efficient Determination of Protein–Protein Standard Binding Free Energies from First Principles. *JCTC* **9**:3789-3798. 2013.

## Numerous restraints needed

#### RMSD on **barnase backbone**

RMSD on **barstar backbone** 



RMSD on **barnase side chains** 

RMSD on **barstar side chains** 

# Separating the proteins



Gumbart, Roux, Chipot. JCTC 9:3789-3798. 2013.

## **Decomposing the PMF**



Force decomposition reveals key contributions to the PMF

Gumbart, Roux, Chipot. JCTC 9:3789-3798. 2013.

## And fourteen separate calculations later...

contribution	PMF (kcal/mol)	time (ns)
$\Delta G^{ m site}_{ m Bs,c}$	$-1.98 \pm 0.31$	6
$\Delta G_{ m Bn,c}^{ m site}$	$-3.13 \pm 0.06$	12
$\Delta G_{\mathrm{Bs,res}}^{\mathrm{site}}$	$-1.87 \pm 0.75$	12
$\Delta G_{ m Bn,res}^{ m site}$	$-3.45 \pm 0.63$	24
$\Delta G_{\Theta}^{\mathrm{site}}$	$-0.09 \pm 0.42$	8
$\Delta G^{ m site}_{\Phi}$	$-0.35 \pm 0.08$	4
$\Delta G_{arphi}^{ m site}$	$-0.24 \pm 0.09$	8
$\Delta G_{ heta}^{ ext{site}}$	$-0.13 \pm 0.33$	4
$\Delta G_{\phi}^{ m site}$	$-0.05 \pm 0.12$	4
$-(1/\beta)\ln(S^*I^*C^\circ)$	$-37.10 \pm 0.29$	212
$\Delta G_o^{ m bulk}$	+6.61	
$\Delta G_{ m Bn,res}^{ m bulk}$	$+8.05 \pm 0.31$	21
$\Delta G^{ m bulk}_{ m Bs,res}$	$+5.15 \pm 0.42$	15
$\Delta G^{ m bulk}_{ m Bn,c}$	$+4.15 \pm 0.53$	18
$\Delta G^{ m bulk}_{ m Bs,c}$	+3.39 ± 0.17	24
$\Delta G^{\circ}_{ m bind}$	$-21.04 \pm 1.43$	372
$\Delta G_{ m bind}^{ m o}$	$-21.04 \pm 1.43$	372

 $\Delta G^0$  = -19.0 kcal/mol (exp)

Gumbart, Roux, Chipot. JCTC 9:3789-3798. 2013.

# Forget everything you just saw: BFEE plugin

JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL INF AND MODELIN

Application Note

pubs.acs.org/jcim

#### BFEE: A User-Friendly Graphical Interface Facilitating Absolute Binding Free-Energy Calculations

Haohao Fu,<sup>†</sup> James C. Gumbart,<sup>||</sup> Haochuan Chen,<sup>†</sup> Xueguang Shao,<sup>†,‡,#</sup> Wensheng Cai,<sup>\*,†,‡</sup> and Christophe Chipot<sup>\*,⊥,\$,§</sup>

A VMD plugin that aids setup and analysis of all the steps to calculate an absolute binding free energy

Fu et al. BFEE: A user-friendly graphical interface facilitating absolute binding free-energy calculations. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 2018, **58**, 556-560.



# Forget everything you just saw: BFEE plugin



Will be widely available in next released version of VMD 1.9.4

To install now, obtain from supplement of published paper

Fu et al. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2018, 58, 556-560.