CLUSTER WORKSHOP EVALUATION FORM

Rate the items below using this scale: 1-Strongly disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Unsure, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly agree

I. OUTCOME	Scale				
1. The Workshop broadened my understanding of concepts and principles in designing and operating clusters.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
2. The Workshop improved my ability to set up a cluster.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
3. The Workshop improved significantly my computational skills.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
4. The Workshop taught me techniques directly applicable to my research and/or job/career.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**

II. LECTURES	Scale				
1. The instructors' knowledge of the subjects was good.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
2. The instructors explained the material well.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
3. The instructors provided real-world examples.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
4. The instructors were prepared for the lectures.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
5. The lectures were coordinated between instructors.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
6. Lectures incorporated recent developments in the field.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
7. The range of lectures captured the overall essentials of the field.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
8. The level of the lectures was appropriate.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
9. The underlying rationale of the techniques presented was clear.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
10. The relevance of the lectures was strong.	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**

Comments on Day 1 Introductory Lecture:

Comments on Day 2 Details Lecture:

Rate the items below using this scale: 1-Strongly disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Unsure, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly agree

III. HANDS-ON	Scale				
1. The hands-on sessions were important for the learning process in the Workshop.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The concrete examples in the hands-on tutorials increased my understanding of the lectures.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The hands-on sessions were long enough.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The hands-on sessions were coordinated with the lectures.	1	2	3	4	5
5. There were sufficient instructions to proceed with the hands-on assignments.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The supplied computers were adequate for the exercises.	1	2	3	4	5

IV. GENERAL ORGANIZATION	Scale				
1. The number of participants was reasonable.	1	2	3	4	5
2. There were enough staff to help the participants.	1	2	3	4	5
3. There was sufficient information provided about the workshop via the website and e-mails.	1	2	3	4	5
4. Instructors were readily available for Q&A outside the lecture periods.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The Workshop web site was informative before the workshop started.	1	2	3	4	5

V. OVERALL SATISFACTION	Scale				
1. Overall technical support was good.	1	2	3	4	5
2. Overall general support was good.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The Workshop was well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The balance between lectures and hands-on sessions was optimal.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The Workshop addressed my research needs.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Overall, the Workshop met my expectations.	1	2	3	4	5

VI. COMMENTS

1. What suggestions do you have for improving the Workshop?

2. What suggestions do you have for similar workshops?

We may also e-mail a survey some months from now with an online-survey form, inquiring about your application of the workshop material and inquiring about suggestions for future workshops.