HANDS-ON WORKSHOP IN COMPUTATIONAL BIOPHYSICS – BETHESDA <u>GENERAL EVALUATION FORM</u>

Rate the items below using the following scale:

1-Strongly disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Unsure, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly agree

	I. OUTCOME	Scale				
1.	The Workshop broadened my understanding of concepts and principles in the field of Computational and Theoretical Biophysics.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	The Workshop improved my ability to carry out original research in the field of Theoretical and Computational Biophysics.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	The Workshop improved significantly my computational skills.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	The Workshop taught me techniques directly applicable to my career.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	The material presented in the Workshop was relevant to my research.	1	2	3	4	5

	II. LECTURES	Scale				
1.	The instructors' knowledge of the subjects was good.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	The instructors explained the material well.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	The instructors provided real-world examples.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	The lectures were coordinated between instructors.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	Lectures incorporated recent developments in the field.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	The range of lectures captured the overall essentials of the field.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	The level of the lectures was appropriate.	1	2	3	4	5
8.	The underlying rationale of the techniques presented was clear.	1	2	3	4	5
9.	The instructors stimulated my intellectual curiosity.	1	2	3	4	5
10	. The daily noon Q&A period was beneficial.	1	2	3	4	5

III. HANDS-ON SESSIONS	Scale				
The hands-on sessions were important for the learning process in the Workshop.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The concrete examples in the hands-on tutorials increased my understanding of the lectures.	1	2	3	4	5

1-Strongly disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Unsure, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly agree

	III. HANDS-ON, continued	Scale				
3.	The hands-on sessions were long enough.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	The hands-on sessions were coordinated with the lectures.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	TAs were well-prepared to answer questions.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	There were sufficient instructions to proceed with the hands-on assignments.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	There were enough TAs / instructional staff to help the participants.	1	2	3	4	5
8.	The software used in the Workshop ran well on (circle one) my laptop / the laboratory laptop.	1	2	3	4	5

IV. OVERALL SATISFACTION			;	Scale)	
1.	The Workshop was well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	The balance between lectures and hands-on sessions was optimal.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	The Workshop addressed my research needs.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	Overall, the Workshop met my expectations.	1	2	3	4	5

V. COMMENTS – IMPROVING THE WORKSHOP

1.	What suggestions do you have for improving the Workshop?

	V. COMMENTS – SUGGESTIONS FOR SIMILAR WORKSHOPS
2.	What suggestions do you have for similar workshops?
	V. COMMENTS - OTHER COMMENTS
3.	Other comments?