

HANDS-ON WORKSHOP IN COMPUTATIONAL BIOPHYSICS – BETHESDA**GENERAL EVALUATION FORM**

Rate the items below using the following scale:

1-Strongly disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Unsure, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly agree

I. OUTCOME	Scale				
1. The Workshop broadened my understanding of concepts and principles in the field of Computational and Theoretical Biophysics.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The Workshop improved my ability to carry out original research in the field of Theoretical and Computational Biophysics.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The Workshop improved significantly my computational skills.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The Workshop taught me techniques directly applicable to my career.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The material presented in the Workshop was relevant to my research.	1	2	3	4	5

II. LECTURES	Scale				
1. The instructors' knowledge of the subjects was good.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The instructors explained the material well.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The instructors provided real-world examples.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The lectures were coordinated between instructors.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Lectures incorporated recent developments in the field.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The range of lectures captured the overall essentials of the field.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The level of the lectures was appropriate.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The underlying rationale of the techniques presented was clear.	1	2	3	4	5
9. The instructors stimulated my intellectual curiosity.	1	2	3	4	5
10. The daily noon Q&A period was beneficial.	1	2	3	4	5

III. HANDS-ON SESSIONS	Scale				
1. The hands-on sessions were important for the learning process in the Workshop.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The concrete examples in the hands-on tutorials increased my understanding of the lectures.	1	2	3	4	5

V. COMMENTS – SUGGESTIONS FOR SIMILAR WORKSHOPS

2. What suggestions do you have for similar workshops?

V. COMMENTS – OTHER COMMENTS

3. Other comments?