Nanoelectromechanics of Methylated DNA in a Synthetic Nanopore
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ABSTRACT Methylation of cytosine is a covalent modification of DNA that can be used to silence genes, orchestrating a myriad of biological processes including cancer. We have discovered that a synthetic nanopore in a membrane comparable in thickness to a protein binding site can be used to detect methylation. We observe a voltage threshold for permeation of methylated DNA through a <2 nm diameter pore, which we attribute to the stretching transition; this can differ by >1 V/20 nm depending on the methylation level, but not the DNA sequence.

Some of the cytosine residues in all vertebrate genomes are methylated, producing what amounts to a fifth DNA base, 5-methylcytosine (1). Methylation adds information not encoded in the DNA sequence, but it does not interfere with the Watson-Crick pairing—the methyl group is positioned in the major groove of the DNA. The pattern of methylation controls protein binding to target sites on DNA, affecting changes in gene expression and in chromatin organization, often silencing genes, which physiologically orchestrates processes like differentiation, and pathologically leads to cancer (1). Although DNA methylation has a profound effect on biological functions by affecting protein binding, the actual mechanism that affects binding is still mysterious. The structure of methylated DNA inferred from x-ray diffraction and nuclear magnetic resonance indicates that the effect of methylation on the conformation of DNA is very subtle, and localized near the methylation site (2).

On the other hand, the DNA dynamics at the methylation site seem to be dramatically reduced—i.e., the molecule gets stiffer (3). Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations indicate that the methyl groups reduce the DNA flexibility because of steric hindrance (the methyl groups are bulky) and because the DNA folds around it (they’re also hydrophobic) (4).

The prospects of using DNA methylation as a molecular diagnostic in medicine are stymied by limitations of the technology used for detection. Immunoprecipitation of methylated DNA or methylation-sensitive restriction digestion represent the state-of-the-art for discriminating methylated DNA from unmethylated DNA. Immunoprecipitation suffers a lack of sensitivity, causing the technique to be sensitive only to large changes in methylation. Methyl-sensitive restriction digestion requires relatively long intact DNA fragments and is limited to CpGs in recognition sites.

Here, we report measurements of the permeation of methylated DNA through a synthetic nanopore, using an electric field to force single molecules to translocate across the membrane through the pore. The diameter of the pore is so small that molecules can only move through it one at a time, while the thin membrane (~20 nm) offers the opportunity to test the nanoelectromechanical properties of methylated DNA of a size comparable to a protein-binding site (3–10 nm). For pores <2.0 nm in diameter—smaller than the DNA helix—we find a voltage threshold for permeation of DNA that depends on the methylation level.

We studied two fragments of genomic DNA that are known to control expression based on methylation status: MS3 and BRCA1. MS3 is one of the CTCF binding sites of the Igf2 imprinting control region (5). Methylation of MS3 prevents CTCF binding, allowing an enhancer to reach Igf2 and turn on expression. Aberrant hypermethylation causes elevated expression of Igf2, which has been shown to encourage cancer. In contrast, BRCA1 is a tumor suppressor gene used to repair DNA. Methylation of the BRCA1 promoter causes binding of a protein (MeCP2) that inhibits expression leading to mutations and breast/ovarian cancer (6).

The fabrication of synthetic nanopores in Si₃N₄ membranes has been described in detail elsewhere (7). The insets to Fig. 1, b and c show transmission electron micrographs, taken at a tilt angle of 0°, of roughly circular pores with apparent diameters of d = 1.8 ± 0.2 nm and 1.7 ± 0.2 nm in membranes 22 ± 3-nm and 17 ± 3-nm thick, respectively. Using images taken at different tilt angles, we model the pore geometry as two intersecting cones each with >15–20° cone angle. We characterized electrolytic conductance for each pore; a line fit to the data for voltages <0.5 V (see the Supporting Material) yields 553 ± 6 pS and 470 ± 9 pS for the pores shown in the insets to Fig. 1, c and d, respectively. Next, we tested the electric-field-driven permeability of DNA through the pore using a membrane transport bi-cell consisting of a two-chamber piece of acrylic with the nitride membrane separating two compartments, each containing electrolyte and an Ag/AgCl...
unmethylated, hemimethylated, and fully methylated MS3 permeate the 1.8-nm pore above a threshold of $U = 3.6$ V, $U = 3.2$ V, and $U = 2.7$ V, respectively. (Inset, middle and right snapshots) Methylated and unmethylated MS3 translocating through the 1.8-nm pore. Both DNA exhibit an ordered B-DNA form, but there is a significant degree of disorder for unmethylated DNA. The highlighted region of the strand shows the portion of the DNA where methylated cytosines are located. The same region is also highlighted in the unmethylated strand for comparison. (d) qPCR results indicating the number of MS3 DNA copies that permeate through the 1.8 ± 0.2 nm pore shown in the inset (left) as a function of the membrane voltage. The solid lines represent a fit to the data. In correspondence with the gels, the threshold for fully methylated MS3 and BRCA1 are $U = 2.5$ V and $U = 2.7$ V, respectively.

FIGURE 1 Threshold voltage for DNA permeation through a synthetic nanopore depends on methylation. (a) Methylation patterns in MS3 and BRCA1. The methylated CpG sites on each strand are attached to solid circles. (b) Gel electrophoresis arrays with eight horizontal lanes indicating MS3 found at the positive (+) electrode in the trans compartment of a bi-cell with a Si3N4 membrane containing a 1.8-nm pore (in c) separating the two chambers. A 25-bp ladder in the top lane is used for gel calibration. Below that, the voltage bias across the membrane identifies the lane. Unmethylated, hemimethylated, and fully methylated MS3 permeate the pore for voltages $V > 3.25$, $V > 2.75$ V, and $V > 2.5$ V, respectively. (c) qPCR results indicating the number of MS3 DNA copies that permeate through the 1.8 ± 0.2 nm pore shown in the inset as a function of the membrane voltage. The solid lines represent a fit to the data. Unmethylated MS3 and BRCA1 permeate at $V > 3.8$ V and $V > 3.6$ V, respectively, while the threshold for fully methylated MS3 and BRCA1 are $U = 2.5$ V and $U = 2.7$ V, respectively.

The other gel arrays shown in Fig. 1 b indicate that the same pore can be used to discriminate fully methylated and hemimethylated from unmethylated DNA. Notice that the threshold voltages for permeation of fully and hemimethylated DNA through the 1.8-nm pore is $V > 2.5$ V and $V > 2.75$ V, respectively—both smaller than the threshold for unmethylated DNA. The voltage thresholds inferred from these gels are corroborated by separate qPCR experiments on the same pore. Fig. 1 c represents the results of three qPCR analyses—one for unmethylated, one for hemi-, and another for fully methylated DNA—showing the number of DNA copies translocating through the pore as a function of the applied potential.

Generally, we observe that the amount of DNA that permeates the pore rises abruptly over a range of ~250 mV
near a threshold that is especially sensitive to the DNA methylation level. The permeation rate can essentially be described by the transition-state relation of the Kramers type,

\[ R = R_0/(1 + \exp[\frac{q^*U - V}{kT}]) \]

where \( R_0 \) is a frequency factor, \( q^*U \) is the effective barrier height, \( q^*V \) is the reduction in the energy barrier due to the applied potential, and \( kT \) represents the thermal energy (11). Using these relations and accounting for the qPCR baseline, the data was fit; the results are overlaid on the scatter plots in Fig. 1.

The threshold voltages for fully and hemimethylated MS3 are consistently below that observed for the unmethylated strand and easily resolved. For example, the threshold for unmethylated MS3 in Fig. 1 c is \( U \sim 3.6 \) V, whereas hemi- and fully methylated MS3 show a threshold of \( U \sim 3.2 \) V and 2.7 \( V \), respectively. The change in threshold is also consistent with features observed in MD simulations of the translocation of DNA strands. Snapshots of the DNA in the pore shown in Fig. 1 c reveal the molecular structure with atomic detail, indicating that both methylated and unmethylated DNA exhibit a B-form, but methylated DNA is more ordered. The preservation of the B-form in methylated DNA is also evident in the root mean-square deviation in the helix diameter. At 4 \( V \), the interior segments of methylated and unmethylated DNA have a root mean-square deviation of 0.29 nm and 0.49 nm, respectively. Correspondingly, the translocation velocity of the methylated MS3 through the pore at 4 \( V \) is higher (1.0 nm/ns) than unmethylated (0.8 nm/ns).

Though the threshold is apparently related to the methylation level, it is relatively insensitive to the DNA sequence, as evident from the comparison between the permeation of MS3 and BRCA1 through a 1.7 ± 0.2-nm pore shown in Fig. 1 d. The BRCA1 and MS3 sequences are different, but the thresholds for stretching are similar: \( U \sim 3.6 \) V and 3.8 \( V \), respectively. Yet fully methylated BRCA1, which has 12 methylated CpG sites, and fully methylated MS3, which has comparable number—10—both show a similar shift in threshold to \( U \sim 2.7 \) V and 2.5 \( V \), respectively. The large shifts in the thresholds with methylation (which appear to be only weakly dependent on sequence) are surprising because the leading nucleotides in the strand are separated by a distance >18 bp (\( \sim 6 \) nm) from any methylation site, which is comparable to the length of a protein binding site.

For the first time, to our knowledge, we have demonstrated a sensitive means to detect the covalent modification of DNA by methylation of cytosines from measurements of the change in the electromechanical properties of the DNA strand. Due to the biconical nature of the pore, the electric field is focused near the central 4 nm of the membrane, which is comparable to a protein-binding site. How proteins specifically recognize methylation is still controversial, but proteins like the methyl-binding protein MeCP2 must encounter DNA electromechanics similar to those seen in these synthetic pores. Based on gel mobility shift assays, the effect of methylation is supposed to be local to the methylation site (12), but our data indicates that the effect of methylation affects the electromechanics of the leading edge of a DNA strand at least 18-bp away. Thus, methylation markers may affect protein binding to DNA on the same scale. And finally, this technology also has the potential to be used as a sieving technique, to separate DNA based on methylation. This could have potential applications in epigenetic analysis, replacing existing technologies such as MeDIP or HELP.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Current-voltage characteristics and a figure are available at http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495(08)04004-6.
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