Predicting the structure of the light-harvesting complex II of *Rhodospirillum molischianum*
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Abstract

We attempted to predict through computer modeling the structure of the light-harvesting complex II (LH-II) of *Rhodospirillum molischianum*, before the impending publication of the structure of a homologous protein solved by means of X-ray diffraction. The protein studied is an integral membrane protein of 16 independent polypeptides, 8 α-apoproteins and 8 β-apoproteins, which aggregate and bind to 24 bacteriochlorophyll-a's and 12 lycopenes. Available diffraction data of a crystal of the protein, which could not be phased due to a lack of heavy metal derivatives, served to test the predicted structure, guiding the search. In order to determine the secondary structure, hydropathy analysis was performed to identify the putative transmembrane segments and multiple sequence alignment propensity analyses were used to pinpoint the exact sites of the 20-residue-long transmembrane segment and the 4-residue-long terminal sequence at both ends, which were independently verified and improved by homology modeling. A consensus assignment for the secondary structure was derived from a combination of all the prediction methods used. Three-dimensional structures for the α- and the β-apoprotein were built by comparative modeling. The resulting tertiary structures are combined, using X-PLOR, into an αβ dimer pair with bacteriochlorophyll-a’s attached under constraints provided by site-directed mutagenesis and spectral data. The αβ dimer pairs were then aggregated into a quaternary structure through further molecular dynamics simulations and energy minimization. The structure of LH-II so determined is an octamer of αβ heterodimers forming a ring with a diameter of 70 Å.
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The photosynthetic apparatus of purple bacteria consists of two types of protein–pigment complexes: the reaction centers and the light-harvesting complexes. The main function of the light-harvesting complexes is to collect and transfer light energy to the reaction centers, where it is trapped in a charge-separated state. In most purple bacteria, the photosynthetic membranes contain two types of light-harvesting complexes: the B870 complex (light-harvesting complex I (LH-I)), which is bound directly to the photosynthetic reaction centers; and the B800–850 complex, also known as light-harvesting complex II (LH-II), which is not directly associated with the reaction centers but transfers energy to the reaction centers via LH-I (Zuber, 1985).

Studies of the mechanism of the photosynthetic reaction center have benefited greatly from the solution of its three-dimensional structure (Deisenhofer & Michel, 1989). However, structural information about light-harvesting complexes is still limited to spectroscopic and biochemical characterization (Hawthornthwaite & Cogdell, 1991; Sundstrom & van Grondelle, 1991; Zuber & Brunisholz, 1991). The LH-II complex of *Rhodospirillum molischianum* has been crystallized and X-ray diffraction data have been collected up to 2.4 Å resolution (Michel, 1991; J. Koepke & H. Michel, unpubl.). To resolve a structure from measured diffraction intensities requires knowledge of phases, which is unobtainable from a single diffraction experiment. Conventionally, the phase problem is solved by means of the multiple isomorphous replacement method. An alternative solution to the phase problem is to phase the structure by using a homologous structure in a procedure called molecular replacement (Rossmann, 1972; Lattman, 1985). In this method, a homologous probe structure is fit into the unit cell of the unknown structure and used to generate an initial phasing model for the unknown structure. Currently there exists no homologous structure to LH-II of *Rs. molischianum*. Although several types of light-harvesting complexes have been crystallized and charac-
terized by X-ray crystallography and electron microscopy (Welte et al., 1985; Cogdell & Hawthornthwaite, 1993; Germeroth et al., 1993; Karrasch et al., 1995), no structure has yet been published. We attempted to predict the structure of LH-II of *Rhodocepsulomonas acidophila* (see Kinemages 1 and 2). The results achieved will demonstrate to what extent current methods are capable of predicting the structure of membrane proteins.

In anticipation of the publication of the crystal structure of LH-II of *Rhodocepsulomonas acidophila*, we present here (1) the predicted secondary structures for the α- and the β-apoprotein of *Rhodospirillum molischianum*; (2) the predicted quaternary structure for the aggregated LH-II complex of *Rhodospirillum molischianum*; and (3) the procedures adopted, which are all based on methods developed in other laboratories. We report this work in the spirit of the 1994 Asilomar Conference, i.e., the predicted structure should be published before crystallographic data are available to truly evaluate the merit of predictions (Benner et al., 1992; Barton & Russell, 1993).

All light-harvesting complexes display a remarkable similarity in the way they are constructed (Zuber, 1985; Zuber & Brunicsholz, 1991). The basic structural unit is a heterodimer of small α- and β-apoproteins containing noncovalently bound pigments (bacteriochlorophyll [BChl] and carotenoids). These heterodimers aggregate to a large complex, functioning as light-harvesting antennae. The size of the aggregate depends on the type of light-harvesting complex and varies from species to species, ranging from a putative hexamer for LH-II of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* (Boonstra et al., 1993) to a hexadecamer for LH-I of *Rhodospirillum rubrum* (Karrasch et al., 1995). Various models have been proposed for the light-harvesting complex of purple bacteria (Zuber, 1986; Zuber & Brunicsholz, 1986; Olsen & Hunter, 1994). The majority of these models were concerned with secondary structural features and the topology of the heterodimers. However, no atomic level modeling of the aggregated complex has been attempted before. Our goal was to build a model structure for LH-II of *Rhodospirillum molischianum* to test it against available, unphased diffraction data and to use it in the future as a probe structure in the framework of the molecular replacement method to phase the diffraction data. The task of the prediction is divided into four stages: (1) predict the secondary structure of the α- and the β-apoproteins from their amino acid sequences; (2) build the tertiary structure of the α- and the β-apoprotein by comparative modeling; (3) form an aggregated complex (quaternary structure) of the α- and β-apoproteins by means of molecular dynamics simulations and energy minimization under the constraint of biochemical and spectral data and the predicted secondary structural features; (4) perform a molecular replacement test using the predicted structure as a probe structure and determine R values. A flowchart of the entire procedure is provided in Figure 1.

The molecular dynamics simulations and energy minimization described in the following sections were carried out using the program X-PLOR (Brünger, 1992). All the simulation protocols were programmed with the versatile X-PLOR script lan-

---

3 This situation will soon be changed by the expected publication of a structure for LH-II from *Rhodocepsulomonas acidophila* by Cogdell et al., who have successfully solved the phase problem for their structure by conventional means.

4 Critical assessment of techniques for protein structure prediction, Asilomar, California, December 4–8, 1994.

Discussion

The LH-II complex of *Rhodospirillum molischianum* consists of two BChl-binding apoproteins α and β with the following sequence:

α: SNPKDDYKIWLVPNSTWLPVWIWVATVVAIAVHAAV LAAPGSNWIALGAAMAAK

β: AERLSGLTGEAAVHAVDFQKFTFSAFIIAAGAHYLV WVVKWF.

The smallest compositional unit of LH-II contains a pair of α- and β-apoproteins, 3 BChl, and 1.5 lycopene molecules (Germeroth et al., 1993). It has been determined by sedimentation equilibrium experiments that the native LH-II complex is an octamer of such αβ units (Kleinekofort et al., 1992). The space group for the crystal is P42_2 with cell dimensions of 92 × 92 × 209 Å.

Because the LH-II complex is an integral membrane protein, we performed a hydrophathy analysis to identify the putative transmembrane segments (Kyte & Doolittle, 1982; White, 1994). The transmembrane segments of apoproteins are usually forced to adopt an α-helical conformation due to constraints of the hydrophobic core of the membrane (Engelman et al., 1986). Hydrophathy analysis assumes that transmembrane segments are comprised mainly of hydrophobic residues because of the low solubility of polar side chains in nonpolar lipid bilayers. It requires about 20 amino acids (in an α-helical conformation) to span the hydrocarbon regions of fluid bilayers that are typically 30 Å thick. Shown in Figure 2 is a hydrophathy plot for the α- and β-apoproteins based on the GES hydrophobicity scale (Engelman et al., 1986) with a window size of 20 amino acids. The GES scale is derived from the free energy cost for transferring amino acids from the interior of a membrane to its water surroundings. On such a scale, a peak of 20 kcal/mol or higher identifies a transmembrane segment (Engelman et al., 1986). Figure 2 clearly shows that a transmembrane segment exists for both the α- and the β-apoprotein. The highest peak occurs at a first residue number of 21 and 22 for the α- and the β-apoprotein, respectively. The transmembrane segments are thus identified as α-Val-21:α-Ala-40 and β-Thr-22:β-Trp-41. It is worth mentioning that, although hydrophathy analysis has been successfully applied to identifying transmembrane helices, the method cannot determine the ends of helices precisely (Michel et al., 1986). The accuracy of the prediction relies on a proper choice of the window size and the cut-off value for identifying membrane-spanning residues that have been calibrated against known structures of membrane proteins (Kyte & Doolittle, 1982;
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Eisenberg, 1984; Engelman et al., 1986; Cornette et al., 1987; Degli Esposti et al., 1990; Jähnig, 1990; White, 1994). At present, the number of membrane protein structures known are still too few to generate a valid calibration. In addition, transmembrane helices are not always 20 residues long. All the transmembrane helices in the photosynthetic reaction center of *Rhodopseudomonas viridis*, for example, are 24–30 residues long (Michel et al., 1986). In our approach, we utilized hydropathy analysis solely to identify the hydrophobic core of transmembrane helices. We note that hydropathy analyses with the other two widely used hydrophobicity scales, i.e., Kyte and Doolittle (1982) and Eisenberg consensus (Eisenberg et al., 1982) scales, generated essentially the same hydrophobic core as the GES scale, for the α- and the β-apoprotein (see Figs. S1 and S2 in the Electronic Appendix).

In addition to the hydropathy analysis, we have also carried out a multiple sequence alignment propensity analysis using the method of Persson and Argos (1994), which combines two sets of propensity values (one for the middle, hydrophobic portion and one for the terminal region of the transmembrane span) to determine the transmembrane segments from multiply aligned amino acid sequences. A novel aspect of this method is the use of evolutionary information in the form of multiple sequence alignments as input in place of a single sequence. The method was shown to be more successful than predictions based on a single sequence alone. A total of 12 homologous sequences of LH-II and LH-I complexes have been aligned (see Table S1 in the Electronic Appendix) and analyzed. As shown in Figure 3, the transmembrane segment determined with this method spans from Trp-18 to Val-37 for the α-apoprotein and from Thr-22 to Trp-41 for the β-apoprotein. The hydropathy analysis identifies the region of the transmembrane segment. Multiple sequence alignment propensity analysis further pinpoints the most probable site of the 20-residue-long transmembrane segment and the 4-residue-long terminal sequence at both ends (see Fig. 3).

To confirm the above secondary structure prediction, we performed more sequence analyses with other available secondary structure prediction methods including SOPM (self-optimized prediction method) and Holley–Karplus analysis (Geourjon & Delage, 1994; Holley & Karplus, 1991) (see Tables S2 and S3 in the Electronic Appendix). SOPM takes structure classes into account and iteratively optimized prediction parameters to increase prediction quality. The Holley–Karplus prediction is an information-based neural network approach. The results are consistent with the assignment of the transmembrane segment, for both the α- and the β-apoprotein, as derived above. Both
analyses demonstrate that residues in the putative transmembrane segments have a high tendency to form an α-helix.

The secondary structure assignments were further verified and improved by homology modeling. Although there exists no structure that is highly homologous to either the α- or the β-apoprotein as a whole, we have found structures in the PDB that are homologous to multiple fragments of the α- and the β-apoprotein. Figure 4 lists some of the homologous fragments to the α- and the β-apoprotein that resulted from a PDB BLAST search (Altschul et al., 1990) (see Table S4 in the Electronic Appendix for details of PDB BLAST search results). It is worth mentioning that a homology with 26% identity and 50% positive exists between a segment of the α-apoprotein (α-Leu-11 to α-Ala-40) and the transmembrane helix D of the M chain in the photosynthetic reaction center from Rb. sphaeroides (M chain, residues 196:225) (Michel et al., 1986). To establish the statistical significance of this alignment, we performed a statistical analysis with the BESTFIT program in the GCG package (Devereux et al., 1984; Genetics Computer Group, 1994). Using a gap-generating penalty of 3.0 and a gap-extension penalty of 0.1, the BESTFIT program generated the same alignment as shown in Figure 4 with a quality of 19.2. The average quality for 100 randomized alignments, in which the query sequence was randomly permuted (shuffled), was 12.7 with a standard deviation of 1.3. That gives rise to a Z-score of 5, which indicates a “possibly significant” alignment according to Doolittle (1981, 1986), Lipman and Pearson (1985), and Pearson (1990). Perhaps a more convincing support for this alignment is the fact that structurally both proteins exist as an α-helical transmembrane segment, and functionally, both proteins contain BC1h-binding residues. Also, two short segments of the β-apoprotein are highly homologous to two corresponding segments in the L chain of the photosynthetic reaction center from Rb. sphaeroides (see Fig. 4). The reaction center L chain sequence 122:133 AFAQLAY LTLVL is located in the center of the transmembrane helix C (Michel et al., 1986), which exhibits a close correspondence to our secondary structure assignment of the transmembrane segment for the β-apoprotein. The sequence WVKLPPPW is near the C-terminal of the reaction center L chain, and so is the corresponding sequence VWKKPW in the β-apoprotein.

A secondary structure analysis was performed for all the homologous proteins listed in Figure 4 with the method of Kabsch and Sander (1983), which defines secondary structure of a protein by means of pattern recognition of hydrogen bonded and geometrical features. The resulting secondary structure assignment for all the homologous fragments is listed in Table S4 along with PDB BLAST search results. As shown in Table S4, all the homologous fragments located within the putative transmembrane region, except ECO RI endonuclease, exist in an α-helical conformation.

We not only used homology modeling to verify the secondary structure assignment but also used it to improve our secondary structure assignment. In case no clear-cut secondary structure assignment can be made, the secondary structural features of the homologous structure were employed to establish the secondary structure identity of the α- and β-apoproteins. Specifically, the N- and C-termini of the transmembrane helix for the α-apoprotein were set to Ser-16 and Ala-41, respectively, in analogy to the homologous transmembrane helix D of the reaction center M subunit and in consideration of the known fact that all residues in the NPS (residues 14:16 in the α-apoprotein) and the PGSN (residues 41:44 in the α-apoprotein) segments have a high propensity for a reverse turn (see Fig. 5 and Levitt [1978]). This assignment is essentially consistent with the result of a multiple sequence alignment propensity analysis for the α-
\(\alpha\)-apoprotein

\[\begin{align*}
1R1E & E & 97:106 & \text{SNPKDDYK}\ldots & \text{KDDYG}\ldots \\
2RCR & M & 196:225 & \text{--LFYP}\ldots & \text{LFY}\ldots \\
1ACB & E & 22:26 & \text{--AVG}\ldots & \text{AVG}\ldots \\
1CPC & L & 68:72 & \text{--APG}\ldots & \text{APG}\ldots \\
1TYP & A & 91:102 & \text{--NW} & \text{NW}\ldots
\end{align*}\]

\(\beta\)-apoprotein

\[\begin{align*}
1AAM & 349:365 & \text{AER}\ldots & \text{AER}\ldots \\
1GRA & 381:388 & \text{-----} & \text{GLTEDEAI} & \text{-----} \\
256B & A & 85:97 & \text{-----} & \text{KEAQAAEQLKTT} & \text{-----} \\
2RCR & L & 122:133 & \text{-----} & \text{AFAILTYLTVL} & \text{-----} \\
1EPS & 22:36 & \text{-----} & \text{KT} & \text{KT} & \text{-----} \\
2BBQ & A & 52:51 & \text{-----} & \text{LSITIHELLW} & \text{-----} \\
2RCR & L & 266:272 & \text{-----} & \text{WVK}\ldots & \text{WVK}\ldots
\end{align*}\]

Fig. 4. Alignment of homologous sequences. 1R1E, Eco R1 endonuclease (EC 3.1.21.4) complex with TGCGGAATTCGCG; 2RCR, photosynthetic reaction center from \textit{Rhodobacter spheroides}; 1ACB, \(\alpha\)-chymotrypsin (EC 3.4.21.1) complex with eglin C; 1CPC, C-phycocyanin; 1TYP, trypanothione reductase (EC 1.6.4.8); 1AAM, aspartate aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.1) mutant; 1GRA, glutathione reductase (EC 1.6.4.2) (oxidized) complex; 256B, cytochrome b562 (oxidized); 1EPS, 5-enol-pyruvyl-3-phosphate synthase (EC 2.5.1.9); 2BBQ, thymidylate synthase (EC 2.1.1.45) complex.

The assignment of the secondary structure at the N-terminus is consistent with both SOPM and Holley–Karplus predictions.

The final secondary structure assignment for both the \(\alpha\) and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein is listed in Figure 6. It is a consensus assignment derived from a combination of all the prediction methods used. It should be pointed out that in addition to a transmembrane helix, an interfacial helix of 10 residues (\(\alpha\)-Ile-46 to \(\alpha\)-Ala-55) has also been identified at the C-terminal of the \(\alpha\)-apoprotein.

This assignment is supported by three observations: (1) Residues in the sequence IALGAAKSA lying in the sequence IALGAAKSA have a high propensity to form an \(\alpha\)-helix as is evident from SOPM and Holley–Karplus analyses (see Tables S2 and S3) and other propensity analyses we have performed. (2) The homologous fragment KALIAA KNKA from trypanothione reductase, as listed in Figure 4, is an \(\alpha\)-helix. (3) As shown in Figure 7, the segment is highly amphipathic and suitable for a location at the interface.

Fig. 5. Propensity profile of a transmembrane helix and reverse-turn for the \(\alpha\)-apoprotein. Solid circles, transmembrane helix propensity base on the scale of Persson and Argos (1994); open circles, reverse-turn propensity by Levitt (1978).
Fig. 6. Assignment of secondary structure and topology. M, transmembrane region; T, terminal (interfacial) region; I, interfacial helix.

Fig. 7. Helical wheel representation of the interfacial α-helix from α-Trp-45 to α-Lys-56.

Residue conservation cannot only provide clues about the helix–pigment interactions, but also provides clues about helix–lipid interactions. The lipid-facing residues tend to be more evolutionarily variable than internal residues (von Heijne & Manoil, 1990; Donnelly et al., 1993). The highly conserved residues, for the α-apoprotein (α-Ala-30···α-His-34···α-Leu-38) are four residues apart and thus aligned on the same side of the helical wheel, and so are the β-apoprotein (β-Phe-27···β-Ala-31···β-His-35). Taking into account the conserved residues in both the α and the β-apoprotein, the interhelical arrangement, as shown in Figure 8, is the most probable one. The side of highly conserved residues, on both the α- and the β-apoprotein, face inward, and the other side is in contact with lipid bilayers.

As we built the heterodimer, the following well-known facts were also taken into consideration. (1) Based on the observa-
tion of cleavage of part of the N-terminal domains of the α- and β-apoproteins of LH-I of Rs. rubrum on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane by partial hydrolysis with proteolytic enzymes (Brunisholz et al., 1984), both α- and β-apoproteins should be oriented with their N-termini toward the cytoplasm. (2) Strong CD signals suggest exciton interactions between pairs of BCHla molecules (Zuber, 1993 and references therein). (3) Linear dichroism (LD) data indicate that the B850 BCHlas are approximately perpendicular to the membrane and the B800 BCHla is parallel to the membrane (Kramer et al., 1984). (4) Fourier-transform resonance Raman spectroscopy and site-directed mutagenesis (Fowler et al., 1994) of a related light-harvesting complex indicate that another highly conserved residue, α-Trp-45, is hydrogen bonded to the 2-acetyl group of BCHla. All these observations impose constraints on the structure: the αβ heterodimer should be arranged interhelically with two B850 BCHla binding histidine residues facing each other as shown in Figure 8; the two B850 BCHlas are paired and oriented perpendicular to the membrane plane. Thus, the basic unit of the LH-II complex is configured with the B850 BCHla pair sandwiched between two helices of the αβ heterodimer. We will return to the consequence of hydrogen bond constraints in the next section.

The construction of the complete aggregated complex was based on the two-stage model suggested in Popot and Engelman (1990), which assumes that the individual helices are formed prior to the formation of the helix bundle. We developed a protocol to aggregate the transmembrane helices into an octamer of eight αβ subunits by means of molecular dynamics simulations and energy minimization under the constraints of experimental data (see Fig. 1 for an outline of the entire procedure). Our procedure consists of three essential steps. In a first step, the α- and β-apoproteins were constructed by comparative modeling based on information obtained through homology and secondary structure analyses as described above. The optimized tertiary structures for the α- and the β-apoprotein were preserved in the subsequent simulations thereafter by applying for the helical backbone harmonic restraints to the distances between the ith carbonyl and the (i + 4)-th amide nitrogen and to the distances between the ith and the (i + 4)-th Cα; by applying in the turn region the harmonic restraint to the dihedral angles of the main chain. No restraint was applied to coiled terminal residues.

The harmonic potential

$$E(R) = \frac{K_\beta T}{2C_{ij}} (R - R_0)^2$$

and the harmonic function

$$E(\phi) = C_{\text{wcl}}(\phi - \phi_0)^2$$

were utilized for distance restraints and dihedral angle restraints (Brünger, 1992). In our procedure, the strengths of restraining potentials were set to relatively weak values by choosing $C_{ij} = 0.2$ Å and $C_{\text{wcl}} = 20.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ rad$^{-2}$. The equilibrium distances ($R_0$) and dihedral angles ($\phi_0$) were extracted from the optimized structures of the α- and the β-apoprotein built by MODELLER. Two BCHlas binding to β-His-17 and β-His-35 were manually attached to the β-apoprotein using the program QUANTA, and a third BCHla was attached similarly to α-His-34. We employed the heavy-atom coordinates of BCHla from the crystal structure of BCHla in the photosynthetic reaction center of Rps. viridis (Deisenhofer et al., 1985) and added explicit hydrogens using X-PLOR's function $\text{hb}$build. The binding conformation between BCHla and His in the crystal structure of Rps. viridis was employed for the placement of the BCHlas. The X-PLOR utility $\text{patch}$ was used to build the ligand bond between magnesium and the nitrogen of His and was followed by rigid body minimization between the apoprotein and the BCHlas. Sub-

**Fig. 8.** Proposed interhelical arrangement of the α- and β-apoproteins. Residues in shaded circles are highly conserved.
sequently, energy minimization runs were performed with harmonic restraints, followed by three 1-ps molecular dynamics runs at consecutively increasing temperatures of 100, 200, and 300 K to equilibrate the system. The lycopene were not included in the model structure.

In the second step, the complete octamer was constructed enforcing an eightfold symmetry. A self-rotational search (Lattman, 1985; Brünger, 1992; McRee, 1993) of the X-ray diffraction data indicated that, most likely, the LH-II complex possessed an eightfold symmetry. Thus, the task of constructing the octamer was reduced to building a protomer for the eightfold octamer. There are two possible choices for the protomer: the αβ heterodimer or a neighboring αβ pair as shown in Figure 9. Because we intended to perform an intermediate step optimization for the αβ dimer, the neighboring αβ pair was chosen as the protomer. The rationale behind our choice is that in the αβ heterodimer (α,β1 in Fig. 9), the two helices separated by the B850 BCHla pair are too far apart to generate any significant interactions to be optimized. In contrast, in the neighboring αβ pair (α,β in Fig. 9), interunit hydrogen bonds exist. As mentioned above, the α-Trp-45 is hydrogen bonded to the 2-acytelyl group of BCHla. However, there is no direct information about which of the two B850 BCHlas is involved in such a hydrogen bond (Fowler et al., 1994). Based on the optimized tertiary structure for both the α- and the β-apoprotein, we found that it is spatially more feasible to form an interunit hydrogen bond, i.e., a hydrogen bond between α-Trp-45 and the 2-acytelyl group of BCHla binding to β-His-35. Because open hydrogen bonds are extremely unstable in a lipid environment, we also arranged to have β-Trp-41 hydrogen bonded to the 2-acytelyl group of BCHla binding to α-His-34. We used QUANTA to place the neighboring pair (α,β as shown in Fig. 9) and arranged the αβ heterodimers in a configuration as shown in Figure 8 and established hydrogen bonds between α-Trp-45 and the 2-acytelyl group of BCHla attached to β-His-35, as well as between β-Trp-41 and the 2-acytelyl group of BCHla binding to α-His-34. We applied the "soft van der Waals" option in X-PLOR to minimize the energy content of the neighboring αβ pair, followed by rigid body minimization with two helices and three BCHlas as five rigid bod-
ices. Thereafter, simulated annealing was applied by heating the system to 2,000 K and slowly cooling it down. This procedure was followed by a 100-ps dynamics run at 300 K, obtaining an equilibrated structure of the neighboring αβ pair.

In a third step, the equilibrated neighboring αβ pairs with all three bound BCHlas were aggregated into an octamer by means of long-time molecular dynamics simulations followed by energy minimization with eightfold symmetry and all the restraints as described above enforced throughout the entire simulation. An iterative protocol consisting of multiple cycles was employed to optimize the octamer structure. Each cycle started with a 200-step rigid body minimization (with the entire protomer as a rigid body), followed by a 2.5-ps rigid body dynamics run at 600 K, then a 5-ps molecular dynamics run at 300 K, ending with a 200-step Powell minimization. The radius of the octamer was monitored to detect convergence. Initially, the octamer was constructed with an outer diameter as large as 100 Å to avoid any close interunit contact. During the first cycle, a larger cutoff distance for nonbonded interactions was required (we chose 15 Å), because the initial interunit distances are large. The iterative process was terminated when the final radii at the end of two consecutive runs differed by less than 0.25 Å. At the end, the octamer was minimized again with the Powell algorithm until it converged to a energetically optimal configuration or until a limit of 700 cycles was reached. It took about 50-100 ps of equilibration (10-20 iterations) before the radii converged. Test runs with longer times indicated that the radius of the octamer began to fluctuate around its average value after 50-ps dynamics. This simulation protocol is based on energy minimization perturbed by successive dynamic equilibration, which can be viewed as a dynamical analogy to simulated annealing.

Protein folding is related to the problem of global minimization, the solution to which relies heavily on proper initial configurations. Starting from a heterodimer built within the constraints of all the biochemical and spectroscopic data dramatically reduces the phase space needed to be sampled, enhancing the chance of steering a structure into the basin of attraction of the global minimum. In the present case, a systematic sampling of initial configurations has been attempted by arranging two different helical assemblies and by varying the relative orientations (angle γ in Fig. 9) of the vector linking two helices and the radial vector from the center of the octamer to the center of a neighboring pair. There are two alternatives to assemble the eightfold octamer ring: one may place the α-apoprotein inside and the β-apoprotein outside or the other way around. Because there exist no observations in favor of one or the other, both arrangements were considered in our modeling. Angle γ in Figure 9 had been sampled from −50° to 50° in steps of 10°. Altogether, a total of 22 octamers were constructed and optimized. Twelve of the 22 optimized structures were rejected either because the size of the octamer was too large to fit the unit cell or because of a severe distortion of the pairing of B850 BCHlas. The rest of the optimized structures were fed into the molecular replacement procedure. The structures were evaluated as described in the following section.
It is worth mentioning that the optimized octamers were not selected based on the criterion of energy minimization. Instead, the molecular replacement test was employed as the ultimate test of the correctness of the model. The predicted structures were then employed as a search model in the framework of the molecular replacement method as implemented in the program X-PLOR (Brünger, 1992). In the molecular replacement method, a six-dimensional search is required to find the best match between observed and calculated diffraction data. In practice, the method is implemented as a three-dimensional rotational search followed by a three-dimensional translational search (Rossmann, 1972; Lattman, 1985). We also performed a Patterson correlation refinement preceding the translational search to filter the peaks of the rotational search (Brünger, 1990). Four of the remaining 10 structures were capable of probing the position of molecules in the crystal. The rotational search oriented the \( \alpha \)-helices of the octamers in a direction parallel to the \( c \)-axis of the crystal unit cell. The translational search further placed the octamers in the site of the fourfold axis of the crystal. The two optimal structures resulting from this search are provided in the Electronic Appendix in the X-PLOR PDB format (see Kinematics 1 and 2). Both are ring structured octamers, one with \( \beta \)-apoproteins inside and \( \alpha \)-apoproteins outside (labeled as octomer_1.pdb) and another with \( \alpha \)-apoproteins inside and \( \beta \)-apoproteins outside (labeled as octomer_2.pdb). With 6-12\( \text{Å} \) resolution data, the \( R \) value after rigid body refinement for the former structure is 49.8% and decreases to 29.8% after positional refinement, and the values for the latter structure are 50.45% and 30.3%, respectively.

Shown in Figure 10A and Kinemage 1 is one of the two best octamers resulting from the molecular replacement search. The octamer forms a ring with an outer diameter of about 70 \( \text{Å} \) with the \( \alpha \)-apoprotein on the inside and the \( \beta \)-apoprotein on the outside. The 70-\( \text{Å} \) outer diameter includes the side chains of the \( \beta \)-apoprotein. On average, the radius of the eight \( \beta \)-apoproteins (measured from the center of the helices) outer ring is 33 \( \text{Å} \); the radius of the eight \( \alpha \)-apoproteins inner ring is 21 \( \text{Å} \). The Mg to Mg separation in the \( \alpha \beta \) heterodimer is about 8.8 \( \text{Å} \). The Mg to Mg separation between adjacent heterodimers is 16.0 \( \text{Å} \). The inner helices are tilted against the membrane plane normal by about 6° and the outer helices are tilted by about 9°. The resulting BChlas are optimally oriented to capture light coming from all directions and are close enough for efficient energy transfer. As depicted in Figure 10B, the B850 BChla pairs were sand-

**Fig. 10.** Schematic structure of the LH-II complex with coordinates taken from the file octomer_2.pdb in the Electronic Appendix. A: Octamer (top view with C-terminus pointing upward). B: \( \alpha \beta \) Heterodimer, i.e., the monomer "unit" of LH-II. Shown are the \( C_\alpha \) trace and locations of three BChlas binding to \( \alpha \)-His-34, \( \beta \)-His-17, and \( \beta \)-His-35. The C-terminus is on top. Produced with MOLSCRIPT (Kraulis, 1991).
wrenched between two helices of the \(\alpha\beta\) heterodimer. Such an arrangement has been suggested for the LH-I complex of \textit{Rs. rubrum} to interpret the ring-shaped 8.5-Å resolution projection map (Karrasch et al., 1995). It should be pointed out that our model shows the expected placement of the two B850 Chlases perpendicular to the membrane, near the periplasmic side. However, the B800 Chlα is not oriented parallel to the cytoplasmic side of the membrane as expected from LD data due to the lack of helix turn residues near \(\beta\)-His-17.

### Summary

In this article, we report our efforts in predicting the structure of the LH-II complex of \textit{Rs. molischianum}. Presently, prediction of tertiary structure is only of practical use when the structure of a homologous protein is already known (Fasman, 1989; Hilbert et al., 1993). We illustrate how one can proceed to predict the structure of an integral membrane protein in the case that a highly homologous structure is not available. Figure 1 outlines the structure prediction processes that we have adopted. Secondary structures were determined using an approach that combines hydropathy analyses to identify the putative transmembrane segments and multiple sequence alignment propensity analyses to pinpoint the transmembrane segment and the four-residue-long terminal sequence at both ends, which were independently verified and improved by homology modeling. Hydropathy analyses showed that it is energetically favorable to form a transmembrane segment for the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein. Propensity analyses demonstrated that the putative transmembrane segments have a high tendency statistically to be an \(\alpha\)-helical membrane spanner. The homologous fragments of the putative transmembrane core are mostly \(\alpha\)-helices, which is in good agreement with the reported success of a secondary structure prediction algorithm based on the hypothesis that short (\(\approx 7\) residues) homologous sequences of amino acids have identical secondary structure tendencies (Levin et al., 1986). This similarity principle has also been used to determine the ends of transmembrane helices for the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein. Our approach combining several prediction schemes is necessary because most secondary structure prediction methods are accurate only up to 60–70%. A consensus assignment for the secondary structure was derived from a combination of all the prediction methods used. We have identified a (transmembrane helix−reverse-turn−interfacial helix) motif for the \(\alpha\)-apoprotein. Biochemical and spectroscopic data were gathered and analyzed to extract structural constraints. Tertiary structures for the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein were built by comparative modeling with constraints derived from the predicted secondary structural features and the homologous fragments. The resulting tertiary structures were combined into an intermediate form, i.e., an \(\alpha\beta\) dimer pair with BCHlas attached, under the constraints of biochemical and spectral data. We also took into account factors such as residue conservation, pigments−protein interactions, and protein−lipid interactions. Molecular dynamics simulations and energy minimization were performed to form the aggregated LH-II complex. Available, unphased X-ray diffraction data served to test 10 predicted structures by the molecular replacement method. The structure of LH-II so determined is an octamer of \(\alpha\beta\) heterodimers forming a ring with a diameter of 70 Å.

Structure prediction of integral membrane proteins shows great promise and merits further investigation. Although thousands of membrane protein sequences are available, there are few detailed three-dimensional membrane protein structures known. Obviously, there is a great need to predict membrane protein structures, with a good opportunity for success. Due to constraints of the hydrophobic core of the membrane, the transmembrane segments of membrane proteins usually adopt two type of conformations: \(\alpha\)-helix or \(\beta\)-sheet. The two-dimensional nature of a membrane provides a strong constraint upon the arrangement of the transmembrane segments that cross it. Most transmembrane helices are either perpendicular to the membrane plane or tilted against the membrane plane normal by less than 30°. It is our hope that the work reported here will be useful to structure prediction of other membrane proteins.

### Note added at time of revision

At the time this article was revised, the crystal structure of LH-II from \textit{Rps. acidophila} determined by conventional multiple isomorphous replacement method by McDermott et al. (1995) had appeared in press. This permitted us to compare our prediction to an observed structure, albeit not for the same protein.

As shown in Table S5, there exists a significant sequence homology between LH-II of \textit{Rps. acidophila} strain 10050 and \textit{Rs. molischianum} for both the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein. Figure 11 compares the predicted secondary structure assignment for \textit{Rs. molischianum} with the X-ray-resolved secondary structure for \textit{Rps. acidophila} (McDermott et al., 1995). The predicted secondary structure of LH-II of \textit{Rs. molischianum} compares well with that of the \textit{Rps. acidophila} crystal structure. We correctly identified the transmembrane helices for both the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein. In particular, the predicted (transmembrane helix−reverse-turn−interfacial helix) motif for the \(\alpha\)-apoprotein is observed in the crystal structure.

It should be pointed out that the aggregated LH-II complex of \textit{Rs. molischianum} consists of eight \(\alpha\beta\) heterodimers, whereas that of \textit{Rps. acidophila} consists of nine heterodimers. The overall helical assembly of the LH-II complex of \textit{Rs. molischianum} described in the previous sections corresponds well with that of \textit{Rps. acidophila}. The LH-II complex of \textit{Rps. acidophila} is a ring-shaped aggregate of nine \(\alpha\beta\) heterodimers with ninefold symmetry. The transmembrane helices of nine \(\alpha\)-apoprotein are packed side by side to form a hollow cylinder of radius 18 Å. The nine helical \(\beta\)-apoproteins are arranged radially with the \(\alpha\)-apoproteins to form an outer cylinder of radius 34 Å. The \(\alpha\)-apoprotein helices are parallel to the ninefold axis to within 2°, and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein helices are inclined by 15° to this axis (McDermott et al., 1995).

The detailed three-dimensional structures of the protomers, which consist of a pair of the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-subunit, from the predicted LH-II complex of \textit{Rs. molischianum} and the X-ray resolved LH-II complex of \textit{Rps. acidophila} are compared in Figure 12. The two structures are aligned using the Kabsch least-squares fitting algorithm (Kabsch, 1976) as implemented in X-PLOR to obtain the best fit between transmembrane segments of both the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-apoprotein. The fit resulted in an RMS deviation of 2.9 Å on the average for C\(_\alpha\) atoms of all the transmembrane residues. This deviation arises from two factors: (1) The \(\alpha\beta\) pair in the predicted structure is more closely packed than the \(\alpha\beta\) pair in the crystal structure. (2) The \(\beta\)-apoprotein is dislocated relatively by about half a helical turn. Individually, an independent fit shows that the tertiary structures of both the
### α-apoprotein

*Rs. molischianum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prediction</th>
<th>SNPKDDYKIWLVINPSTWLPIWIVATVIAVHAAVLAAPGSNWIALGAAKSAAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>CCCCCCCCCEEECECHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHCCCHHHHHHHHHHHHHC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### β-apoprotein

*Rs. molischianum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prediction</th>
<th>AERSLSGLTEEEAIAVHDQPKTTFSAFIIILAAVAHVLVWVWPWEF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>-----ATLTAEQSEELHKYVIDGTRVFLGLALVAHPLAFSAPWPLH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fig. 11.**Comparison of secondary structure. H, helix; E, β-sheet; C, coil; 3, 3-turn helix; ?, unresolved. Secondary structure of LH-II of *Rps. acidophila* extracted from McDermott et al. (1995).**

α- and the β-apoprotein between two structures correspond well to each other. For the α-apoprotein, the averaged RMS deviation for Cα atoms of the transmembrane helical core is 1.1 Å. The (transmembrane helix-reverse-turn-interfacial helix) motifs of the α-apoprotein from both structures exhibit a close similarity in both position and orientation. For the β-apoprotein, the averaged RMS deviation for Cα atoms of the transmembrane helical core is 1.7 Å. The main discrepancy is the placement of BChlas. Although our predicted structure places the B850 BChla pair between the αβ heterodimer as shown in Figures 10B and 12 and Kinemage 1, the X-ray structure shows that all B850 BChlas are arranged such that the chlorophylls in the pair overlap the chlorophylls of the two neighboring pairs and that a ring of overlapping BChlas is formed. As expected, the B800 BChla is oriented parallel to the membrane plane in the crystal structure. A surprise is the binding of the B800 BChla to the formyl group of the fMet residue at the N-terminus of the α-apoprotein, which, for the purpose, has to dive into the interior of the membrane by as much as 9 Å. As stated before, our difficulties in placing the B800 BChla are attributed to a lack of pertinent biochemical information. It should also be born in mind that, although in the majority of LH-II, even LH-I, complexes there exists a corresponding Met residue at the N-terminus of the α-apoprotein, the N-terminus of the α-apoprotein of *Rs. molischianum* is a Ser residue. As a result, a slightly different conformation for *Rs. molischianum* is expected. The difference in the number of the constituting αβ dimers (eight versus nine) is a clear indication of a difference as well. The extent of this difference will be clear once the structure of LH-II from *Rs. molischianum* has been determined by X-ray crystallography at 2.4 Å resolution.

#### Supplementary material in the Electronic Appendix

The Electronic Appendix contains a sequence alignment (Table_S1) of the α- and β-apoproteins of the LH-II complex.

#### Fig. 12.**Stereos of the protomers from the predicted LH-II complex of *Rs. molischianum* (colored in cyan; coordinates taken from the file octomer_2.pdb in the Electronic Appendix) and the X-ray-resolved LH-II complex of *Rps. acidophila* (colored in yellow; coordinates courtesy of Cogdell and co-workers, permission sought for publication) (produced with VMD).**
of Rs. molischianum with α- and β-α-proteins of LH-II and LH-I complexes from other photosynthetic bacteria. Table S2 and Table S3 show SOPM and Holley–Karplus predictions of the secondary structure, respectively. Table S4 lists detailed PDB BLAST search results for both the α- and β-α-proteins. Table S5 shows the sequence alignment between LH-II of Rs. molischianum and Rps. acidophila. Figure S1 and Figure S2 plot hydropathy profiles based on the Kyte and Doolittle scale and the Eisenberg consensus scale, respectively. Two PDB files are provided: octomer_1.pdb is for an optimized LH-II complex with β-α-proteins inside and α-α-proteins outside and octomer_2.pdb is for an optimized LH-II complex with α-α-proteins inside and β-α-proteins outside.
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